

**City of Salford
Unitary Development Plan**

**STATEMENT OF DECISIONS REACHED BY THE CITY
COUNCIL IN RESPECT OF THE INSPECTOR'S
RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE REASONS FOR THOSE
DECISIONS**

PREFACE

This document sets out the city council's decisions in respect of the Inspector's recommendations and gives the reasons for these decisions. It should be read in conjunction with a number of accompanying documents including the Schedule of Proposed Modifications, the Inspector's Report and the Composite Copy of the Plan.

The document lists the objections received at each stage of the review process and sets out the Inspector's recommendations, as given within his report. It does not however include the Inspector's reasoning for each recommendation and it is therefore recommended that this statement is read in conjunction with the Inspector's report.

Throughout each of the documents the following abbreviations have been used:

AAP - Area Action Plan

DPD - Development Plan Document

LDD – Local Development Document

LDF - Local Development Framework

LDS – Local Development Scheme

PIC – Pre Inquiry Change

PPS – Planning Policy Statement

RJ - Reasoned Justification

RPG – Regional Planning Guidance

RSS - Regional Spatial Strategy

SPD – Supplementary Planning Document

SPG – Supplementary Planning Guidance

UDP – Unitary Development Plan

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0142/50187 Viridor Waste Management Ltd
0580/51298 Manchester Airport

Support

0890/52802 The Countryside Agency

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 1, 2, 3, 4

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
1.4	the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			
	A in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 1, 2, 3 and 4 [CD90]; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 32, 33, 34, 35
	B. by the <u>substitution</u> of "The Six Pledges" by "The Seven Pledges" in the Contents pages;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 1
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Other General objections

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0457/51089 Salford Primary Care Trust
0500/52652 English Partnerships
0142/51036 Viridor Waste Management
0420/51292 GMPTE
0828/52038 Cliffside Residents Association (CRA)
0929/52609 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0929/52612 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Support

0007/50016 Seedley & Langworthy Partnership Board
0017/50030 Sir Alan Cockshaw
0274/50612 MIDAS
1100/52527 Manchester Chamber of Commerce

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
1.16	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 2 – Plan Strategy

Para 2.1

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52259 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52515 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.4	<u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Vision (Paras 2.2 – 2.3)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52260 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52516 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0100/50181 Tesco Stores Limited
0479/51050 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Support

1114/55005 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)
0100/50181 Tesco Stores Limited

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.7	<u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Aim 1 (Paras 2.4 – 2.6)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52261 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52517 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0730/5212 Cllr Karen Garrido

Support

0730/52114& 52117 Cllr Karen Garrido
0610/51418 Morston Assets Ltd
0666/51631 Peel Holdings Ltd

Objections to Revised UDP

0652/60223 Mr G Ainsworth

Pre Inquiry Changes - PICs 5, 6

Objections to Pre Inquiry Changes

0652/70256 & 70277 Mr G Ainsworth

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.11	A. <u>adding</u> the following at the end of Paragraph 2.4: “...with a particular emphasis on providing the type of accommodation and appropriate neighbourhood settings and facilities that will help to attract families to live in Salford”.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 39
	B. <u>amending</u> Paragraph 2.6 to read: “Control will be exercised over the number, type <u>and location</u> of new homes..”;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 40
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Aim 2 (Paras 2.7-2.10)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52518 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52262 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0580/51303 Manchester Airport
0100/50195 Tesco Stores Limited
0730/52123 & 52125 Cllr Karen Garrido
0260/50446 University of Salford – CW

Support

1114/55006 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)
0666/51632 Peel Holdings Plc
0244/50491 UK Coal
0730/5212 Cllr Karen Garrido

Support to Revised UDP

0260/60117 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.21	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>deletion</u> from the second sentence of Paragraph 2.10 of the words: "...planning obligations to secure the use of...";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 43
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Aim 3 (Paras 2.11-2.13)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0580/52894 Manchester Airport
0730/52127 Cllr Karen Garrido
0972/52519 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52263 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0730/52126 & 52128 Cllr Karen Garrido

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.25	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Aim 4 (Paras 2.14 - 2.16)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52696 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

0972/52692 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0424/51306 A. E. Nicolson

Support
0730/5212 Cllr Karen Garrido

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.29	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Aim 5 (Paras 2.17 – 2.20)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0730/52132 & 52133 Cllr Karen Garrido
0972/52520 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52266 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0730/52130 & 52131 Cllr Karen Garrido
0089/50309 Sport England
0100/50205 Tesco Stores Limited
0073/50085 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Objections to the Revised UDP

0652/60224 Mr Geoff Ainsworth

Support

0260/60118 University of Salford

Pre Inquiry Change - PIC 7

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.35	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 7 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 45
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Aim 6 (Paras 2.21 – 2.22)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52305 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52521 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0610/51425 Morston Assets Ltd

Support

0683/51553 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
 0730/52134 Cllr Karen Garrido

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.39	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Aim 7 (Paras 2.23 – 2.26)

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0730/52136 & 52137 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0951/52306 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52522 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0142/50188 Viridor Waste Management Ltd

Support

0610/51424 & 52135 Morston Assets Ltd
 0730/52138 Cllr Karen Garrido

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.45	A. the <u>deletion</u> of the second sentence of Paragraph 2.25; and B. the replacement of the expressions "brownfield" and "greenfield" throughout the UDP by the phrases "previously developed land" and "previously undeveloped land";	Accept Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate but on occasion it is more appropriate to refer to "sites" rather than "land"	MOD 50 MOD 22, 47, 48, 49, 54, 56, 80, 180, 258, 345, 352, 390, 391, 417, 418, 419, 498, 499

	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Links to the Community Plan

Pre Inquiry Changes - PICs 8,9,10

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
2.47	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 8, 9 and 10 (CD90);	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but it is appropriate the table reflects the latest version of the Community Plan.	MOD 51, 52, 53
	but that no further modification be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

CHAPTER 3 Spatial Framework

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0260/50454 University of Salford (CW)
 0666/51636 Peel Holdings Plc
 0501/51207 Clariant UK Ltd
 0890/52806 The Countryside Agency
 1102/52884 Dr & Mrs Seely
 0951/52698 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee(W&BCC)
 0972/52694 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

1116/55020 CPRE Lancashire Branch
 0170/50252 Burford & Shell

Support to Revised UDP

0260/60119 University of Salford

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 11 & 12

Inspector's Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
3.15	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> ; A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 11 & 12 [CD90]; B. by <u>amending</u> the proposals map to include the existing built-up part of Barton Aerodrome on the A57 Liverpool Road frontage within the Western Gateway sub-area on the Spatial Framework. C. by <u>deleting</u> Paragraph 3.14 and the list of Area Action Plans, and replacing it with: "The policies and proposals of the UDP are designed to secure the implementation of the vision and priorities set out in the Spatial Framework. Development Plan Documents and Supplementary Planning Documents will be produced in order to guide development in more detail with respect to certain topics or areas. The latter may take	Accept Accept Partially accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate but it is the Development Plan Documents that may take the form of Area Action Plans not Supplementary Planning Documents. For this reason the third sentence of the inserted text should read "The former may take the form of Area Action Plans..." not, "The latter..."	MOD 55, 57 MOD 505 MOD 62

CHAPTER 4 Strategic Policies

Policy ST1 Sustainable Urban Neighbourhoods

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50494 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0972/52440 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52307 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0420/51014 GMPTE

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 13

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.5	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 13 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 63
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy ST2 Housing Supply

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0853/52046 Valley & Vale Properties Ltd
 0972/52441 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0666/51638 Peel Holdings Plc
 0610/51426 Morston Assets Ltd
 0271/50504 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0951/52308 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0189/50593 English Heritage
 0220/50362 George Wimpey UK Ltd
 1102/52886 Dr & Mrs Seely
 0652/51475 Mr Geoff Ainsworth

Support

1100/52533 Manchester Chamber of Commerce (MCC)
0942/52275 Arrowcroft Northwest Ltd

Objections to Revised UDP

0677/60244 Countryside Properties
0652/60230 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
1190/60177 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
0610/60308 Morston Assets Ltd
0666/60155 Peel Holdings Plc
1150/65287 Westbury Homes (CW)
0500/60134 English Partnerships

Support

1196/60266 North West Regional Assembly (NWRA)

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 165* replacing PIC24, 166* replacing PIC28

Objections to Pre-Inquiry Changes

0652/70257 G Ainsworth
0666/70156 Peel Holdings Ltd
0027/70043 Warrington Borough Council
0543/70162 Government Office for the North West (GONW)
0677/70032 Countryside Properties
0188/70024 Lanstar

Support

0313/70094 Robert Mathers
0073/70011 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)
0480/70002 Sandra Boardman

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.96	the UDP be <u>modified</u> : A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 26, 27, 29 and 30 [CD90];	Partially Accept	The city council accept the Inspector's recommendations to modify the Plan in accordance with Pre Inquiry Changes 15,16,19,20,21,23,26,27, and 30 as the council agree with the Inspector's reasoning and conclusions. The Council also broadly accept the Inspector's recommendation to modify the Plan in accordance with PICs 17 and 18 but intend amending the figures in the Housing Requirement table and the second paragraph of the RJ relating to the allowance for over or under provision during the period April 2002-March 2004 to reflect the latest	MOD 67, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 75, 77, 79, 81, 120, 121

			<p>calculation of this figure (a difference of 12 dwellings).</p> <p>The city council also broadly accept the recommendation in accordance with PIC29 but intend to change the last sentence of the paragraph by stating “ In all but one case, it is assumed that the whole of the site will come forward with a figure of 75% for MX3/3”, to reflect the fact that the Inspector has recommended the deletion of many of the sites referred to in the table of allocations.</p> <p>It is also appropriate to refer to the Regional Spatial Strategy rather than Regional Planning Guidance in the Housing Requirement Table.</p>																											
	<p>B. by <u>revising</u> the “Components of Provision” table under Policy ST2 as follows:</p> <table><tr><td>COMPONENTS OF PROVISION</td><td>DWELLINGS</td></tr><tr><td>Sites with PP/ Under Construction (as of 1/4/04)</td><td>6976</td></tr><tr><td>Windfalls under 0.4ha</td><td>1264</td></tr><tr><td>Windfalls 0.4ha> in mixed use areas</td><td>3500</td></tr><tr><td>Windfalls 0.4ha> outside missed use areas</td><td>1200</td></tr><tr><td>Conversions & COU</td><td>584</td></tr><tr><td>Development on cleared sites</td><td>2363</td></tr><tr><td>Allocations (including those granted Permission after 1/4/04)</td><td>1058</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>16945</td></tr></table> <p>C. by <u>revising</u> the “Housing Allocations” table accompanying Policy ST2 as follows:</p> <p>HOUSING ALLOCATIONS</p> <table><tr><td>Ref</td><td>Location</td><td>Gross Site</td><td>Yield</td></tr><tr><td>H9/3</td><td>Flax Street,</td><td>1.3</td><td>62</td></tr></table>	COMPONENTS OF PROVISION	DWELLINGS	Sites with PP/ Under Construction (as of 1/4/04)	6976	Windfalls under 0.4ha	1264	Windfalls 0.4ha> in mixed use areas	3500	Windfalls 0.4ha> outside missed use areas	1200	Conversions & COU	584	Development on cleared sites	2363	Allocations (including those granted Permission after 1/4/04)	1058	TOTAL	16945	Ref	Location	Gross Site	Yield	H9/3	Flax Street,	1.3	62	Partially Accept	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector’s recommendation is appropriate but “Planning Permission” has been incorporated into the table rather than “PP” and “Changes of Use” has been incorporated rather than “COU” for the purposes of clarity.</p>	MOD 78
COMPONENTS OF PROVISION	DWELLINGS																													
Sites with PP/ Under Construction (as of 1/4/04)	6976																													
Windfalls under 0.4ha	1264																													
Windfalls 0.4ha> in mixed use areas	3500																													
Windfalls 0.4ha> outside missed use areas	1200																													
Conversions & COU	584																													
Development on cleared sites	2363																													
Allocations (including those granted Permission after 1/4/04)	1058																													
TOTAL	16945																													
Ref	Location	Gross Site	Yield																											
H9/3	Flax Street,	1.3	62																											
		Accept	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector’s recommendation is appropriate.</p>	MOD 82 – 119 (inclusive)																										

		Blackfriars					
	H9/6*	Dudley Street, Broughton	0.6	20			
	H9/13	Former Windsor High School, Langworthy	3.8	76			
	H9/25	Cumberland Street / Wheater's Terrace, Blackfriars	1.6	54			
	H9/27	Former Hanover Court, Bury New Road, Broughton	0.6	26			
	H9/28	Newbury Place, Bury New Road, Broughton	0.6	26			
	H9/32*	Ordsall Drive, Ordsall	1.5	231			
	H9/35*	Worsley Road North & Thorpe Street, Walkden North	1.0	34			
	H9/36*	Former Weaste Tram Depot, Eccles New Road	1.1	200			
	MX3/3	Whit Lane, Pendleton	15	180			
	MX4	Former Lowry School, Blackfriars	4.4	149			
	Estimated total yield of Allocations			1058			
	<p>* sites marked thus already benefit from planning permission in whole or part, with a total yield of 485 dwellings.</p> <p>D. by <u>adding</u> to the end of the final sentence of the RJ: “(approximately 37.5 dwellings per hectare)”.</p> <p>E. By adding the following to the end of the RJ to Policy ST15: “<i>In considering proposals for housing clearance, regard will be had to the desirability of retaining buildings and areas of historic character</i>”;</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.</p>						
	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the inspector wished to add this text to the end of the paragraph immediately below the Components of Provision Table in the RJ, rather than to the final sentence of the RJ.					MOD 81
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.					MOD 149
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.					

Policy ST3 Employment Supply

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0027/50044	Warrington Borough Council
0890/52813	The Countryside Agency
0521/51409	Lands Improvements Holdings
0951/52309	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52443	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50506	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0666/51639	Peel Holdings Plc – CW

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60201	Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
0244/60153	UK Coal
0666/60167	Peel Holdings Plc – CW

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 ,36, 37

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.111	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u>:</p> <p>A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 & 37 [CD90], (subject to my recommendations with respect to Policy MX3/2;)</p> <p>B. the <u>inclusion</u> of the following as an additional bullet point in the text after the Components of Provision table in Policy ST3; "Establishment of a Strategic Regional Site at Barton, including the potential to provide a multi-modal freight interchange";</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Partially Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However the figures within the fourth paragraph of the RJ and the "Components of Provision" Table and referred to in PIC 32 and 33, will need to be updated to reflect the Inspector's recommended allocation for employment development of land fronting Wharton Lane, Little Hulton (to the rear of A & B Motors). Reference should also be made to March 2016 rather than April 2016 for the total provision period.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. For the avoidance of doubt, the city council considers that the Inspector's comments relate to the penultimate paragraph of the RJ and not to the bullet points in the "Notes" which follow immediately after the Components of Provision Table.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 131</p> <p>MOD 130</p>

Policy ST4 Key Tourism Areas

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52444 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52311 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0271/50520 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0666/51640 Peel Holdings Plc

Support

0479/51058 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 38

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.120	that the UDP be modified in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 38 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 132
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST5 Transport Networks

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0521/51384 Lands Improvements Holdings
 0831/51955 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
 0951/52312 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52442 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0271/50523 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51059 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 0391/50746 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
 0420/51016 GMPTE

Support to Revised UDP

0391/60156 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
 0073/60043 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.130	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST6 Major Trip Generating Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52345 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0666/51643 Peel Holdings Plc
0735/51784 Miss J Hart
0972/52445 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50524 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0730/52139 Cllr K Garrido

Support

0391/50744 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
0420/51018 GMPTE
0479/51060 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.134	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST7 Mixed Use Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0942/52276 Arrowcroft Northwest Ltd
0951/52346 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52446 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50532 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)

Support

0420/51259 GMPTE
0391/50743 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
0479/51061 North West Tourism Board

Objection to Revised UDP
0677/60245 Countryside Properties

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.141	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST8 Environmental Quality

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52347 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0271/50533 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0890/52830 The Countryside Agency
0972/52447 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0479/51063 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.145	that <u>no modifications</u> to the UDP be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST9 Retail, Leisure, Social and Community Provision

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52448 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
1109/52903 Paul Wilson
0273/50562 Aldi Stores Ltd
0779/51772 Red City Developments
0610/51464 Morston Assets Ltd
0950/52293 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd
1100/52530 Manchester Chamber of Commerce (MCC)
0100/50207 Tesco Stores Limited
0951/52348 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0271/50534 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51065 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 39, 40

Objection to Pre Inquiry Changes

0543/70163 Government Office for the North West

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.161	that Policy ST9 be <u>modified</u> :			
	(a) in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 39 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 135
	(b) by the <u>deletion</u> of the text from "The following Specialist Retail Locations..." to the end of the policy; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 136
	(c) by the <u>deletion</u> of the 3 rd sentence of the RJ.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 137
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST10 Recreation Provision

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51476 Cllr G Ainsworth
0769/52680 English Nature
0730/52140 Cllr K Garrido
0951/52349 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0271/50535 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenities Society (WCT&AS)
0972/52449 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0089/50306 Sport England
0890/52831 The Countryside Agency - **CW**

Support

0073/50096 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)
1110/52907 The North West Federation for Sport Recreation and Conservation

Objections to Revised UDP

0652/60228 Geoff Ainsworth
0769/60309 English Nature

Support

0089/60093 Sport England
0073/60044 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.167	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>substitution</u> of point 5 of Policy ST10 by: "Improvement of access between urban areas and the urban fringe and countryside, in particular through the network of existing and proposed Strategic Recreation Routes"; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 138

Policy ST11 Location of New Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0521/51421 Lands Improvements Holdings (LIH)
0666/51646 Peel Holdings Plc
0271/50536 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0890/52832 The Countryside Agency
0769/52666 English Nature
0853/52048 Valley & Vale Properties Ltd
0972/52450 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0758/52235 Lancashire County Council (LCC)
0951/52351 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0501/51208 Clariant UK Ltd

Objections to the Revised UDP

0073/60050 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)
1150/65289 Westbury Homes (cw)

Support

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54

Support

Support

0420/51260 GMPTE
0391/50742 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.191	that <u>no modification</u> to the UDP be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy ST13 Natural Environmental Assets

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52452 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52353 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0831/51956 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0271/50538 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

1116/55021 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0683/51556 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit

Objections to Revised UDP

1150/65290 Westbury Homes (CW)

Support

1196/60267 North West Regional Assembly
0073/60045 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.197	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>amendment</u> of the first two sentences of the RJ to Policy ST13 to read: "The City contains many assets which contribute towards its overall biodiversity and natural environmental quality. These include the Mosslands....";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 148

	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	
--	---	--------	---	--

Policy ST14 Global Environment

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52453	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
1116/55022	CPRE Lancashire Branch
0002/50011	Mr Keith Hunt
0271/50539	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0951/52354	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.203	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST15 Historic Environment

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50540	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0831/51957	Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0023/50039	The Garden History Society (GHS)
0972/52454	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0666/51647	Peel Holdings Plc

Support

0479/51066	North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0073/50102	Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)
0951/52355	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.208	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST16 Sustainable Waste Management

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52455	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0142/51045	Viridor Waste Management Ltd
0951/52356	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
1116/55023	CPRE Lancashire Branch
0271/50541	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.217	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>deletion</u> of Policy ST16 and its RJ, and their <u>replacement</u> with a new strategic policy that reflects the advice of PPS10 "Planning for Sustainable Waste Management" and provides a background to a modified Policy W1;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 150
	but that no further modification be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy ST17 Mineral Resources

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0244/50490	UK Coal
0951/52357	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52456	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0831/51959	Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0271/50542	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0543/51216	Government Office for the North West (GONW)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
4.224	that the UDP should be <u>modified</u> as follows: A. the RJ to Policy ST17 should be <u>amended</u> in the final sentence of the second paragraph by the substitution of "firm" for "adequate";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 151

	<p>B. the RJ to Policy ST17 should be <u>amended</u> at the end of the second paragraph to include the following:</p> <p>“In some circumstances, mineral working also has the potential to provide environmental benefits, for example in the restoration of derelict land and</p> <p>in the creation of wildlife habitats. Such benefits will be taken into account when assessing the overall environmental impact of minerals proposals.”</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 152
	<p>C. the RJ to Policy ST17 should be <u>amended</u> by substituting the final sentence of the third paragraph by:</p> <p>“The City does not have an individual landbank, as the calculation of the supply of aggregates is combined between Greater Manchester, Merseyside, Warrington and Halton through the North-West Regional Aggregates Working Party. Consequently, its contribution and needs are taken into account at the sub-regional level. Presently, the sub-regional landbank is substantially in excess of that needed to provide for a 7 years supply. The Council will have regard to the landbank when considering relevant planning applications”.</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 153
	<p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made with respect to these objections.</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

CHAPTER 5 Mixed Use Development

Introduction

Support to 1st Deposit UDP
0260/50456 University of Salford

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 55

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.3	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by substituting "two" for "four" in paragraph 5.2 when referring to the number of sites allocated for a mix of open space and built development	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 154
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy MX1 Development in Mixed Use Areas

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0479/51067 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0853/52053 Valley & Vale Properties Ltd
0972/52502 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0403/50828 G I Pattinson
0271/50543 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0882/52792 Highways Agency – **CW**
1100/52529 Manchester Chamber of Commerce (MCC)
0650/51548 BT plc - **CW**
0951/52403 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0391/50741 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd - **CW**
0930/52613 Environment Agency

Support

1114/55012 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)
0462/51108 Transloyd Developments Ltd
0461/51106 George Ellis and Sons Ltd
0350/50880 British Waterways

0260/50457 University of Salford

Objections to Revised UDP

1193/60282 ASK Property Developments
1150/65291 Westbury Homes
1188/60121 Orbit Investments (Salford) Ltd

Support

0904/60131 Trafford MBC
0391/60158 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
0260/60120 University of Salford
1114/60023 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 56

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.26	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			
	A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 56 [CD90]; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 156
	B. the addition in Policy MX1 to the list of appropriate uses: “(k) in the Knowledge Capital, knowledge-based employment, including live-work units,” ; and	Partially Accept	Accept, but without the reference to “in the Knowledge Capital.” Given the location of the mixed-use area, it is considered that knowledge based uses would be appropriate throughout. Policy E2A provides specific advice in the Knowledge Capital.	MOD 155
	C. by the insertion after the 4th sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the RJ of: “Appropriate provision should be made for educational and community uses to serve the residents of the areas”;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 161
	D. by amending the RJ to policy MX1 to include a brief statement setting out for each of the 4 areas a vision; key opportunities and constraints; and the number of dwellings anticipated to be built during the plan period; and any linkages with other regeneration programmes, indicating phasing implications. If possible, it should also say that the Council intends to produce further guidance in due course.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 157 – 160 (inclusive)
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy MX2 Chapel Street Frontage

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0650/51549 BT plc – (CW)
 0972/52503 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52404 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0271/50544 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0461/51103 George Ellis and Sons Ltd
 0479/51068 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.30	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy MX3 Sites for a Mix of Open Space and Built Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52504 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0271/50545 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0951/52405 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0479/51069 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 57, 58, 59, 60

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.36	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's pre-Inquiry changes 57, 58 & 60 [CD90];	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However Pre Inquiry Change 57 is superseded by the Inspector's recommendation to delete Policy MX3/2 in paragraph 5.53 of his report.	MOD 162, 164, 165, 170
	by substituting "two sites" for "four sites" in the first	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is	MOD 166

	paragraph of the RJ but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	
--	--	--------	---	--

Policy MX3/1

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0710/ 51921 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee (LH&WCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.41	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy MX3/2

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0882/52797 Highways Agency – CW
0244/50492 UK Coal
1116/55024 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0710/51915 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee (LH&WCC)

Support

0683/51559 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.53	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy MX3/2 and its RJ; B. the deletion of reference to Policy MX3/2 from the RJ to Policy ST3 and from the second note to the "Components of Provision" Table which accompanies it; C. <u>amendment</u> of the "Components of Provision" Table attached to Policy ST3, as shown in Pre Inquiry Change	Accept Accept Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However the figures within the table need to	MOD 163, 168 MOD 126

	33 [CD90] to take account of the deletion of allocation MX3/2;		be updated to reflect the Inspector's recommendation (8.150) to allocate land fronting Wharton Lane and to the rear of A & B Motors, Little Hulton for employment development.	MOD 125
	<u>D. substitution</u> in the third paragraph of the RJ to Policy ST3 of: "However, there are two major sites allocated..." with "However, there is also a major site allocated...";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 122
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Reject	Need to delete site (MX3/2) from the proposals map.	MOD 506

Policy MX3/3

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0930/52616 Environment Agency
0610/51427 Morston Assets Ltd

Support

0073/50104 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.63	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	<u>A. replacing</u> the first sentence of the second paragraph of the RJ to Policy MX3/3 with: "Any associated community facilities provided as part of the development should be directed towards Douglas Green to complement the existing facilities there."; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 169
	<u>B. amending</u> the yield from this site, as set out in the Housing Allocations table attached to Policy ST2 to 180;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 115
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy MX3/4

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

See ANNEX D in the Inspector's Report

Expression of support

1100/52534 Manchester Chamber of Commerce

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 58, 59, 60 & 154

Objections to Pre Inquiry Change

See ANNEX D of the Inspector's Report

Support for Pre-Inquiry Change

0882/70237 Highways Agency - **CW**
0312/70123 Jane Mathers
0313/70093 Robert Mathers
0073/70006 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)
0480/70004 Sandra Boardman

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.105	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Changes 58, 59, 60 & 154 [CD90]; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Partially Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However, the proposed deletion of both the Swinton Sewage Treatment Works site (MX3/4) and the Wharton Lane, Little Hulton site (MX3/2) requires reference to be made to "two" sites in the RJ to portray MX3, rather than "three" sites as proposed by PIC59. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 165, 166, 170, 507

Policy MX4 Site for Mixed Use Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52505 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52406 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0930/52619 Environment Agency
0271/50546 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51070 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 61, 62

Objections to Pre-Inquiry Changes

0543/70164 Government Office North West (GONW)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
5.119	<p>that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>substitution</u> of the second sentence of the RJ to Policy MX4 by:</p> <p>"The exact balance and mix of uses will be set out in the Lower Broughton Area Action Plan having regard, amongst other things, to the development of other sites in the area and need to have regard to flood risk. This site includes a substantial amount of former playing fields. Development will be allowed on that land only in the context of the overall strategy to be set out in the Area Action Plan, which should seek to ensure there is no substantial net loss of open space in the area as a whole.";</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Partially Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council agrees with the principle of the Inspector's recommendation, but the implication of its current wording would be to place the regeneration of this part of Lower Broughton on hold until an Area Action Plan (AAP) has been adopted for the area. This would be inappropriate and inequitable, as the UDP would effectively be singling out one strategically important site and saying that its development must be delayed, despite it being a fundamental component of the successful implementation of the Housing Market Renewal Pathfinder initiative, whereas no other site in the city would automatically be treated to such delay. The city council considers that the outcome sought by the Inspector could be more appropriately secured by using the following wording:</p> <p>"The exact balance and mix of uses will be set out in the Lower Broughton Area Action Plan having regard, amongst other things, to the development of other sites in the area and the need to address the issue of flood risk. This site includes a substantial amount of former playing fields. Development will be allowed on that land only where it can be clearly demonstrated that there would be no substantial net loss of open space in the area as a whole."</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p>	MOD 171

CHAPTER 6 Design

Introduction

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0424/51304 A. E. Nicholson
 0890/52833 The Countryside Agency - **CW**
 0972/52731 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52739 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0831/51960 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

Support

0479/51073 North West Tourism Board

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.5	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES1 Respecting Context

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0890/52838 The Countryside Agency
 0391/50739 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
 0730/52142 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0951/52697 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0769/52639 English Nature (EN)
 0929/52562 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0972/52693 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0831/51961 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
 0271/50547 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51074 North West Tourism Board

Support to Revised UDP

0073/60046 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.12	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>amendment</u> of criterion (i) of Policy DES1 to read: "The impact on, and relationship to the existing landscape and any notable landscape or environmental feature, or species"; B. the <u>insertion</u> of an additional criterion to Policy DES1 along the following lines: "(x) The functional compatibility with adjoining land uses"; and but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 173 MOD 175

Policy DES2 Circulation and Movement

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0073/50111 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)
0271/50548 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)

Expressions of support

0479/51075 North West Tourism Board
0420/51261 GMPTE

Objections to Revised UDP

0073/6004 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Support

0420/60310 GMPTE

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.16	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. <u>replacing</u> the second paragraph of the RJ to Policy DES2 by: "Whilst all opportunities should be taken to enhance pedestrian accessibility, there may be exceptional	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 176

	circumstances where the loss of pedestrian routes may be justified by a compensating improvement in the overall design of the development, and subject to the maintenance of accessibility under the provisions of Policy A2”;			
	B. the correction of the typographical error (“is” for “in”) in the third sentence of the final paragraph of the RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 177
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES3 Design of Public Space

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50549 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51076 North West Tourism Board
0073/50115 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.19	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES4 Relationship of Development to Public Space

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50550 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0273/50565 Aldi Stores Ltd
0950/52296 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd

Expressions of support

0479/51077 North West Tourism Board

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.22	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by <u>amending</u> the first sentence of Policy DES4 to: "Development adjoining public space shall be designed to have a strong and positive relationship with that space"; but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 179

Policy DES5 Tall Buildings

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50551 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society
0831/51962 Moorside South Residents Association
0972/52732 Boothstown Residents Association 1990
0951/52740 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee
0189/50598 English Heritage
0580/51347 Manchester Airport

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.29	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES6 Waterside Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0730/52143 Cllr Karen Garrido
0666/51650 Peel Holdings Plc
0271/50552 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0073/50122 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM) - **CW**

Support

0875/52702 Inland Waterways Association
0874/52689 Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal Society (MB&BCS)
0683/51562 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.39	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. the <u>deletion</u> of the sentence below Policy DES6(3); and</p> <p>B. its <u>replacement</u> with the following:</p> <p>"Where the commercial role of the waterway makes it inappropriate to provide a waterside walkway, an alternative route shall, where possible, be provided. Such a route should be well designed and effective; accessible and safe for users and, so far as practicable, near to the waterside; and linked to any existing waterside walkways and other key pedestrian routes";</p> <p>C. the <u>amendment</u> of criterion (a) of Policy DES6 to read:</p> <p>"a) Where possible, to protect, improve or provide wildlife habitats";</p> <p>D. the <u>deletion</u> of the first 2 sentences of the third paragraph of the RJ to Policy DES6; and</p> <p>E. their <u>replacement</u> with:</p> <p>"In implementing this policy, regard will be had to the type of development proposed and the individual site characteristics. This may mean that not all of the policy criteria can be satisfied in every case, thereby requiring a balance to be drawn. For example, the potential for water-based freight-handling facilities on sites fronting the Manchester Ship Canal means it may not be practicable to meet all of the design requirements in instances where commercial development relating to the function of the canal is proposed. Similarly, it might mean that pedestrian access along the waterside may not always be appropriate where that would conflict with operational development, or with the historic character of the</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Partially Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate broadly appropriate but that the criterion could be better expressed by deleting the word "to"</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 182</p> <p>MOD 182</p> <p>MOD 183</p> <p>MOD 185</p> <p>MOD 185</p>

	waterway.” F. the <u>deletion</u> of the second paragraph of the RJ to Policy DES6; and the following added to the end of the final paragraph: “Where there is an existing walkway, any new development adjacent to it will be expected to bring it up to a suitable standard. Where appropriate, walkways should also provide for cyclists.”;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 184,186
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES7 Amenity of Users and Neighbours

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50553 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

0424/51308 A. E.Nicolson

0391/50740 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.45	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES8 Alterations and Extensions

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0950/52297 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd

0273/50567 Aldi Stores Ltd

0461/51107 George Ellis and Sons Ltd

0271/50554 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.51	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES9 Landscaping

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52741 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52733 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0831/51963 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0271/50555 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0391/50738 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd – **CW**

Support

0479/51080 North West Tourism Board
0890/52839 The Countryside Agency

Support to Revised UDP

0391/60159	Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
0073/60048	Ramblers Association of Manchester(RAOM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.57	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by;			
	A. the <u>addition</u> of an additional criterion as follows: “(vii) wherever possible make provision for the creation of new wildlife habitats.” and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 190
	B. the deletion of the final paragraph of the RJ and its replacement with: “The provision of green features in the design of development is particularly encouraged as this can help soften and enhance an area's appearance, assist in the permeability of land for storm drainage and contribute to biodiversity. For example, new tree planting may be required as part of development where there is a deficiency in the area, potentially contributing to the Red Rose Forest initiative. Wildlife, both plant and animal, may be encouraged not only by such means as the deliberate creation of habitat and in the choice of species in landscaping schemes, but also by other indirect methods. The design and distribution of open space and gardens in a housing layout; the creation of corridors for	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 191

	wildlife along footpaths and transport routes; and the choice of surfacing, enclosure and land drainage systems can all increase the contribution which a development could make to biodiversity and to meeting the targets of the Greater Manchester Biodiversity Action Plan. Developers should demonstrate that regard has been had in the design of development to the principles of enhancing biodiversity by such means.”;			
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made with respect to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES10 Public Art

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51478 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
0271/50556 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0950/52298 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd
0273/50570 Aldi Stores Ltd
0016/50027 McCarthy and Stone (Developments) Ltd

Support

0479/51081 North West Tourism Board

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.65	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy DES10; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 192
	B. the <u>inclusion</u> of the following after the penultimate sentence of the RJ to Policy DES3: “Public art can make a significant contribution to the quality of public space and it has an important role in creating a sense of place and identity. It is not just about sculpture, but can also be incorporated into building and landscape design, and can include artistically designed street furniture, lighting and signage. Its provision will be sought in appropriate locations, particularly along the Irwell Sculpture Trail, in the Chapel Street area, In town	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 178

	centres, environmental improvement corridors, conservation areas and key public spaces.”; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made with respect to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Policy DES11 Design and Crime

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50557 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0950/52299 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd
0273/50581 Aldi Stores Ltd

Support

0479/51082 North West Tourism Board
0420/51262 GMPTE
0073/50132 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Support to Revised UDP

0073/60049 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.69	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy DES12 Resource Conservation

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50558 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0972/52734 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52742 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0831/51964 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

Support

0890/52844 The Countryside Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.71	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these representations.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Policy DES13 Design Statements

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50559 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51083 North West Tourism Board

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
6.73	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 7 Housing

Chapter Introduction

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51966 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
 0972/52756 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52764 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0500/52656 English Partnerships

Support to 1st Deposit UDP

0666/51651 Peel Holdings Plc

Objection to Revised UDP

0500/60146 English Partnerships
 1150/65295 Westbury Homes

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 63, 64, 65

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.4	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 63, 64 and 65 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 194, 195, 196, 552
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy H1 Provision of New Housing Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0942/52278 Arrowcroft Northwest Ltd
 0853/52050 Valley & Vale Properties Ltd
 0666/51652 Peel Holdings Plc
 0496/51256 N Moutrey
 0002/52029 Keith Hunt
 0785/51836 Wolfgang Brassloff
 0784/51825 Audrey Brassloff
 0029/50050 J and G Hamilton

0432/50966 Seddon Regeneration
0652/51479 Geoff Ainsworth
0730/52144 Cllr Karen Garrido
0501/51209 Clariant UK Ltd
0610/51428 Morston Assets Ltd
0929/52542 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
1116/55025 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0271/50610 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0391/50737 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd

Objections to Revised UDP

1199/65212 M Halpern
0652/60233 Geoff Ainsworth
1190/60203 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd

Support

1150/65296 Westbury Homes

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.19	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of criterion 2 of Policy H1;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 199
	B. the <u>amendment</u> of criterion 3 of Policy H1 to read: "Be built at an appropriate density, which will be no less than 30 dwellings per hectare (net) throughout the City, and no less than 50 dwellings per hectare (net) on sites within or adjoining the mixed use areas listed in Policy MX1, town and neighbourhood centres and major transport nodes along good quality public transport corridors. These standards may be varied in individual circumstances, having regard to criteria A-F of this policy";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 200
	C. the <u>amendment</u> of the end of criterion 5 of Policy H1 to refer to: "Policies H8 and R2"	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 201
	D. the <u>amendment</u> of the introduction to criteria A-F of Policy H1 to read;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 202

	<p>“In determining whether the proposed mix and density of dwellings on a site is appropriate and acceptable, regard will be had to the following factors:”</p> <p>E. the <u>amendment</u> to the RJ to Policy H1 as follows:</p> <p>in the first paragraph:</p> <p>- <u>deletion</u> of the third and fourth sentences and the phrase “and does not lead to any instability in local housing market” in the final sentence;</p> <p>and in the second paragraph:</p> <p>- <u>deletion</u> of the fifth sentence;</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 204, 205,
		Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 206
		Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy H1A Managing the Supply of Housing

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60204 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
0500/60147 English Partnerships
0666/60176 Peel Holdings Plc
0652/60237 Geoff Ainsworth
1150/65297 Westbury Homes (**CW**)
0543/60039 Government Office for the North West (GONW)

Support

0371/60222 Bellway Homes

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72

Objections to Pre Inquiry Changes

0652/70259 G Ainsworth
1218/70151 Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council (OMBC)
0666/70158 Peel Holdings Plc
0543/70165 Government Office for the North West (GONW)

Support

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.46	the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			
	A. in accordance with the Councils Pre Inquiry Changes 66, 67, and 71. [CD90]; and by:	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 207, 208, 212
	B. the <u>substitution</u> of "Regional Spatial Strategy" for "Regional Planning Guidance" In PIC67;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 208
	C. the <u>addition</u> to the end of criterion (iii) in PIC67 of: "... and in Oldham / Rochdale";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 208
	D. the <u>deletion</u> of the third section of Policy H1A dealing with under-supply;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 209
	E. the <u>amendment</u> of the final sentence of the second paragraph of the Reasoned Justification to Policy H1A to read:	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 212
	"... could result in an unacceptable over-supply of new dwellings, having regard to the effect on the major considerations set out in criteria (i) – (v) of the policy. For the avoidance of doubt, the overall strategy referred to in criterion (i) includes the annual average rate of housing provision, net of clearance, as set out under Policy ST2";			
	F. the <u>deletion</u> of the fourth paragraph of the Reasoned Justification to Policy H1A; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 213
	G. In the final paragraph of the Reasoned Justification to Policy H1A, the deletion of all references to under- supply;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 214, 216
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy H2 Location of New Housing Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0929/52543 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

0666/51653 Peel Holdings Plc
 0758/52930 Lancashire County Council (LCC)
 0652/51481 Geoff Ainsworth
 1116/55026 CPRE Lancashire Branch
 0730/52145 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0271/50611 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0501/51210 Clariant UK Ltd

Support

0420/51264 GMPTE

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60205 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
 0666/60178 Peel Holdings Plc

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.48	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy H3 Housing Improvement

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52757 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0271/50688 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0951/52765 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0831/51967 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

Expressions of support

0929/52545 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0730/52146 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0511/51044 Raymond King

Objection to Revised UDP

0666/60180 Peel Holdings Plc

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 73

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.55	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's pre-Inquiry change 73 [CD90].	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 217
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy H4 Affordable Housing

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50689 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0432/50975 Seddon Regeneration
0543/51229 Government Office for the North West (GONW)
0890/52856 The Countryside Agency
0016/50029 McCarthy and Stone (Developments) Ltd
0666/51654 Peel Holdings Plc

Support

0371/50818 Bellway Homes

Objections to Revised UDP

1150/65298 Westbury Homes
0371/60090 Bellway Homes
0016/60311 McCarthy and Stone (Developments) Ltd
1190/60206 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.90	the UDP <u>be modified</u> by:			
	A. <u>replacing</u> the end of Policy H4 to read: “...an element of affordable housing, of appropriate types, on all residential sites over 1 hectare, irrespective of the number of dwellings, or in housing developments of 25 or more dwellings”;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 218
	B. <u>amending</u> the first sentence of the RJ to Policy H4 to read:	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 219

	<p>targets for housing sites allocated under Policy H9 and for the mixed use areas, and identify the overall number of affordable homes to be sought in the Plan period and a broad indication of how provision will be made. It will also provide guidance on the identification of the areas where there is demonstrable need and the proportion of affordable homes to be provided on suitable sites.</p> <p>In the meantime, the policy includes general requirement for affordable housing to be provided as part of housing developments above a set threshold, but indicates that an element of affordable housing will be sought in them only where there is a demonstrable need. In assessing whether a need exists in relation to any proposal, the Council will have regard to up-to-date information deriving from the housing needs assessment. The proportion of affordable homes to be provided in any development will be calculated having regard to the level of need, the size of the development and the effect on viability. Consequently, no fixed proportion of dwellings per development is set in the policy.”</p> <p><u>E deleting</u> the first sentence of the third paragraph of the RJ.</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 222
		Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy H5 Provision of Residential Accommodation Within Existing Buildings

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50690 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.95	<p>that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>amendment</u> of the first sentence of the RJ to read:</p> <p>“The sub-division of dwellings and the conversion of non-residential property are important sources of new housing provision and can help to satisfy housing needs, including that for affordable housing, in the City”;</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 223

	but that no further <u>modification</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	
--	---	--------	---	--

Policy H6 Residential Social and Community Use

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51482 Geoff Ainsworth
0271/50691 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.103	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy H7 Provision of Student Accommodation

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50692 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0260/50461 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.106	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy H8 Open Space Provision Associated with New Housing Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0500/52654 English Partnerships
0610/51442 Morston Assets Ltd
0666/51655 Peel Holdings Plc
0089/50313 Sport England
0853/52051 Valley & Vale Properties Ltd
0016/50028 McCarthy and Stone (Developments) Ltd

0271/50693 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Objections to Revised UDP

0652/60243 Geoff Ainsworth
 1150/65299 Westbury Homes
 0089/60150 Sport England
 0500/60148 English Partnerships
 0365/60242 Swinton's Open Space Community Association (SOSCA)
 1190/60207 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd – **CW**

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 74, 75, 76

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.147	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the: A. Council's Pre Inquiry Change 76 [CD90]; and by:	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 232
	B. Replacing the first sentence of the second element of Policy H8 with the following: "The amount of open space to be provided shall meet the identified need deriving from the development. It shall be calculated having regard to the aim of achieving the standards of Policy R2 and by reference to the approach set out in Supplementary Planning Documents."	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 225
	C. <u>deleting</u> from the end of the second element of Policy H8 the words "...having regard to its Urban Open Space Strategy";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 227
	D. <u>replacing</u> the first paragraph of the RJ to Policy H8 to read: "The appropriate provision, improvement and maintenance of open space facilities is a vital element of successful residential areas. The Urban Open Space Strategy will inform this process. New housing development creates additional demand for such facilities, and it is therefore important that this is satisfied either through the provision of new facilities or the improvement of existing ones. Any new housing development should make adequate provision for the provision and maintenance of adult, youth and children's facilities including sports pitches, equipped children's play areas and amenity open space to meet demand that it may	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but the reference to the Urban Open Space Strategy should be amended to refer to "Salford's Greenspace Strategy", which is a replacement document currently being prepared as an SPD.	MOD 228

	<p>create, based on the standards contained in Policy R2. Where the housing is to meet special needs, for example sheltered housing, it may be appropriate to provide alternative recreational, social or community facilities related to the development in the form of on-site amenity space and off-site recreational facilities that would be attractive to older people”;</p> <p><u>E. adding</u> the word “quality,” between “existing” and” level” in the first sentence of the second element of Policy H8</p> <p><u>F. adding</u> the following after the first sentence of the second paragraph of the RJ to Policy H8;</p> <p>“The Urban Open Space Strategy will, when prepared as a Supplementary Planning Document, inform this process.”</p> <p><u>replacing</u> the first and second sentences of the third paragraph to the RJ to Policy H8 with:</p> <p>“Wherever practicable, and where the provision will be most effective in meeting the needs generated by the development, it will be preferable for it to be made within the development site. Where it is not practicable, or where the provision would be more effectively provided elsewhere, it may be appropriate for the development either to provide facilities off-site or to contribute financially to its provision off-site, including contributing to the improvement and maintenance of existing facilities in the local area.”</p> <p>G. the <u>replacement</u> of the final paragraph to the RJ to Policy H8 by;</p> <p>“The formula for the financial contribution per bed space and other detailed matters relating to the implementation of this policy, including the use of planning obligations, where appropriate, will be included in Supplementary Planning Documents”;</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Partially Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but the reference to the Urban Open Space Strategy should be replaced with “Salford's Greenspace Strategy.”</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p>	<p>MOD 229</p> <p>MOD 230</p> <p>MOD 231</p> <p>MOD 233</p>
--	--	---	---	---

Policy H9 Sites for New Housing

General objections

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0543/51235 Government Office for the North West (GONW)

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60225 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
 0403/60272 G I Pattinson
 0500/60149 English Partnerships (EP)
 1150/65300 Westbury Homes (cw)

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 79

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.155	the UDP be <u>modified</u> : A. in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Change 79 [CD90]; B. by <u>including</u> in the RJ to individual sites information about their location in the Housing Market Renewal Area. but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 260 MOD 263, 266, 273, 283, 285, 286, 290, 293

Allocation H9/1

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0733/51769 C.T.L Estates
 0271/50694 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.170	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/1 and its RJ; and B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/1 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 234, 261 MOD 82, 508

Allocation H9/2

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50695 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0930/52625 Environment Agency

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 80

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.179	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/2 and its RJ B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/2 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 235, 262 MOD 83, 509

Allocation H9/3

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0930/52659 Environment Agency
 0271/50704 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenities Society (WCT&AS)
 0073/50134 Ramblers Association of Manchester – **CW**

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.185	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/4

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0930/52660 Environment Agency
 0271/50705 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenities Society (WCT&AS)
 0073/50138 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM) - **CW**

Expression of support

0260/50462 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.192	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/4 and its RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 236, 264
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/4 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 85, 510
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/5

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50706 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0432/50979 Seddon Regeneration
 0930/52661 Environment Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.196	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/5 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/5 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 237, 265, MOD 86, 511

Allocation H9/6

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50707 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.200	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP with respect to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/7

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

See ANNEX D in the Inspector's Report

Support
0008/50017

H Singer

Objection to Revised UDP
1199/65210

M Halpern

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.205	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of housing allocation H9/7 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/7 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 238, 267 MOD 88, 512

Allocation H9/8

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0501/51211
0271/50709

Clariant UK Ltd
Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 82

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.210	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of housing allocation H9/8 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/8 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map ; but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 239, 268 MOD 89, 513

Allocation H9/9

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0401/50815	R Smith
0541/51199	J.R. Syddall
0662/51614	R.W. Matthews
0794/51881	T.S. Fernley
0041/50285	F A Hall
0554/51364	C Meredith
0403/50826	G I Pattinson
0088/50301	Allan Rutter
0971/52546	Salford Astronomical Society (SAS)
0006/50015	Alison Palmer
0271/50710	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0005/50014	Pauline Palmer
0478/51049	K Redford
0374/50472	GS and A Herrick
0130/50402	Dennis Johnson
0395/50759	B J Whelan
0086/50298	Joe and Kay McCully

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.212	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/10

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0050/50312	Barbara Petecka
1119/55047	M Khan
0320/52232	Robert Berry
0316/50604	James Cunningham
0178/50464	M.C. Moore
0707/51894	M Mc Culloch
0743/51833	David Yates
0785/51829	Wolfgang Brassloff
0784/51826	Audrey Brassloff
0236/50434	Bruce Thompson

0175/50409 D.R.Emery
0089/50350 Sport England
0001/50008 Paul Lenihan
0029/50051 J and G Hamilton
0315/50586 Joan Cunningham
0652/51483 Geoff Ainsworth
0632/51465 Ryszard Petecki
0271/50711 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0092/50099 Eoan Edwards
0496/51192 N Moutrey

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.218	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/10 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to Policy H9/10 from the tables in Policies ST2 and H9 and from the proposals map; and C. the <u>revision</u> of the figure for "sites with planning permission" and "sites allocated for housing development in the Revised Draft Replacement Plan" in the first table under Policy ST2 to take account of the permission for housing at the former Greenwood School site, Ellesmere Park and the likely housing yield; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 240, 269 MOD 90, 514 MOD 78

Allocation H9/11

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0089/50336 Sport England
0271/50712 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.228	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			

	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/11 and its RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 241, 270
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to Policy H9/11 from the tables in Policies ST2 and H9 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 91, 515
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made to the UDP with respect to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/12

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50713 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0930/52662 Environment Agency

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 83

Support to Pre Inquiry Change

0073/70009 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.234	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/12 and its RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 242, 271
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to Policy H9/12 from the tables in Policies ST2 and H9 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 92, 516
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/13

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0089/50344	Sport England
0271/50714	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0057/50078	Denbigh Area Tenants Association (DATA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.246	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. <u>replacing</u> the first sentence of the RJ to Policy H9/13 by:</p> <p>“This school site is now vacant and is suitable for housing combined with other uses such as offices, light industry, community and recreational uses, all of which have the potential to contribute to the wider regeneration of the area. The most appropriate form of development will be considered in that context in the Pendleton Area Action Plan, which is to be produced as a Development Plan Document in the next few years”; and</p> <p>B. <u>reducing</u> the yield from Policy H9/13 in the tables of allocated sites contained in Policy ST2 to 76;</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.</p>	<p>MOD 272</p> <p>MOD 78, 93</p>

Allocation H9/14

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50715 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0073/50142 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.253	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/14 and its RJ;</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 243, 274

	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/14 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 94, 517
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/15

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50716 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.262	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/15 and its RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 244, 275
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/15 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 95, 518
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/16

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50717 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.270	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policies H9/16, and their RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 245, 276
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocations H9/16 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 96, 519

	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	
--	---	--------	---	--

Allocation H9/17

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50718 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.270	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policies H9/17 and their RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 246, 277
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocations H9/17 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 97, 520
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/18

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50719 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.270	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policies H9/18 and their RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 247, 278
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocations H9/18 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 98, 521
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/19

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0930/52664 Environment Agency
 0271/50720 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0260/50465 University of Salford – **CW**

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 84

Support for Pre-Inquiry Change

0260/70155 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.276	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policies H9/19 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/19 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 248, 279 MOD 99, 522

Allocation H9/20

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50721 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0652/51484 Geoff Ainsworth
 0189/50601 English Heritage - **CW**

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.284	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policies H9/20 and their RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is	MOD 249, 280

	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocations H9/20 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 100, 523
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/21

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0706/51892 Phillip Birley
0882/52834 Highways Agency
0271/50722 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0745/51847 Linda Hodgson
0185/50573 A.P. Wheatley
0861/52069 K Hardy

Support

0943/52280 Austin Trumanns Group
0734/51771 Wainhomes (North West)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.296	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/21 and its RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 250, 281
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/21 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 101, 524
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/22

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
See ANNEX D in the Inspector's Report

Support

0391/50724 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
1144/60179 A. Moores

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.299	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/23

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
0271/50725 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.301	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/24

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
0189/50603 English Heritage
0829/52044 Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (WELD)
0271/50726 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0929/52547 Worsley Village Community Association(WVCA)
0730/52147 Cllr Karen Garrido
0456/51071 A Prodgers
0403/50825 G I Pattinson

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.308	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/24 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/24 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 251, 282 MOD 102, 525

Allocation H9/25

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60287 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
0930/65278 Environment Agency

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 85

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.316	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by <u>adding</u> the following to the end of the first sentence of the RJ to Policy H9/25: “...and other clearance sites in the area, all within the context of the proposed Area Action Plan for Lower Broughton, which is to be prepared as a Development Plan Document”; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 283

Allocation H9/26

Objections to Revised UDP

0930/65277 Environment Agency

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 86

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.321	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/26 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/26 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 252, 284 MOD 104, 526

Allocation H9/27

Objections to Revised UDP

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.324	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP with respect to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/28

Objections to Revised UDP

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.328	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/29

Objections to Revised UDP

1194/60235 Arnold Laver & Co Ltd
 0882/60303 Highways Agency - **CW**
 1190/60293 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
 1151/60036 CPL Industries Ltd - **CW**

Support

1150/65320 Westbury Homes

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 77, 87, 88, 155, 166

Support for Pre-Inquiry Changes

1194/70030 Arnold Laver & Co Ltd.
 1151/70029 CPL Industries Limited

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.333	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/29 and its RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 253, 287
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/29 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 107, 527
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/30

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0188/50583 Lanstar

Objections to Revised UDP

0188/60007 Lanstar
 1190/60294 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd

0882/60302 Highways Agency – **CW**

Support
1150/65321 Westbury Homes

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 89

Support for Pre-Inquiry Change
0188/70025 Lanstar

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.338	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/30 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/30 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 254, 288 MOD 108, 528

Allocation H9/31

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
1190/60289 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.345	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy H9/31 and its RJ; B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocation H9/31 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 255, 289 MOD 109, 529

Allocation H9/32

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60290 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.349	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by <u>amending</u> the yield from Policy H9/32 in the table attached to Policy ST2 to 231;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 110
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/33

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60301 Taylor Woodrow Developments L

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.284	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policies H9/33 and their RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 256, 291
	B. the <u>deletion</u> of reference to housing allocations H9/33 from the list under Policy ST2 and from the proposals map;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 111, 530
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/34

Objections to Revised UDP

0666/60192 Peel Holdings Plc – **CW**
 1146/60003 CQ Bell
 1147/60005 CJ Bell
 1149/60028 Catherine Heyes
 1152/60013 Cliff Pilkington

1153/60002	Moya Greenan
1154/60058	Louise Stimson
1155/60059	Just for Dogs
1156/60060	Carol Anne Ferendenus
1157/60061	Pearl Grifo
1158/60062	Kathryn Murphy
1159/60063	R Collier
1160/60064	Hollingsworth
1161/60065	D Hampson
1162/60066	Kirsty Bent
1163/60067	Tim Ellis
1164/60068	Kathryn Eckersley
1165/60069	Darren Byrns
1166/60070	DB Haynes
1167/60071	Veronica Forde
1168/60072	George Bryan
1169/60073	Christopher Goodall – CW
1170/60074	Graham Kilmartin
1171/60075	H Rigby
1172/60076	D Bartlett
1173/60077	V Wheeldon
1174/60078	RStott
1175/60079	G Taafe
1176/60080	L Hayes
1177/60081	J Waring
1178/60082	Lyndsey Longworth
1179/60083	Mathieson
1180/60084	CJ Clews
1181/60085	Craig Trench
1182/60086	Wilson
1183/60087	Alan WVernon
1184/60088	Jones
1185/60106	Roy Entwistle
1190/60291	Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd - CW
1197/65168	PR Hagerty- CW

Pre Inquiry Changes - PICs 78, 90, 156, 162

Support for Pre-Inquiry Changes

1184/70022	Mr & Mrs Jones
1182/70020	Mr & Mrs Wilson
1179/70018	Mr & Mrs Mathieson
1168/70016	Mr George Bryan
1185/70014	Roy Entwistle
0073/70008	Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.353	that the Plan be <u>modified</u> as follows: A. Policy H9/34 should be <u>deleted</u> from the Plan and the proposals map in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 90 and 156 [CD90]; B. allocation H9/34 should be <u>deleted</u> from the list of sites for New Housing in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 78; C. allocation H9/34 should be <u>deleted</u> from the list of housing allocations in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 166; and D. the boundary of the Blackleach Country Park should be <u>amended</u> on the proposals map in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 162 but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept Accept Accept Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 292, 531 MOD 257 MOD 112 MOD 545

Allocation H9/35

Objections to Revised UDP

1197/65170 PR Hagerty
 1191/65171 Louise Marriott
 1185/60174 Roy Entwistle
 1190/60295 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
 1174/60021 R Stott
 1153/60019 Moya Greenan
 1146/60001 CQ Bell
 1147/60004 CJ Bell

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.356	<u>no modifications</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Allocation H9/36

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60296 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd
1148/60022 SELNEC Preservation Society

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.359	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by amending the yield from Policy H9/36 in the table attached to Policy ST2 to 200;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 114
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Omission Sites

Land at Worsley Road North/ Hirst Avenue / Devonshire Road

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0220/50370 George Wimpey UK Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.363	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to this objection.	Accept	The city council agree that no recommendation is required in response to the objection as planning permission has already been granted for residential development on the site, and therefore no change to the UDP is required.	

Land at Springfield Lane / Half Street / Reservoir Street / Dean Road

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0432/50970 Seddon Regeneration

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.367	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to this objection.	Accept	The city council agree that no recommendation is required in	

			response to the objection as planning permission for residential development on the site has been granted, and therefore no change to the UDP is required.	
--	--	--	--	--

Land at Gladwyn Farm, Irlam

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0402/50125 PBM and J Stringer

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.369	<u>No modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Land at Pendlebury Road, Swinton

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0559/51737 Modus Properties

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.376	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Land at Vicars Hall Lane / Leigh Road, Boothstown

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0666/51660 Peel Holdings Plc

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.385	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0610/52927 Morston Assets Ltd

Housing Allocations – Overall conclusions

78

	but that no further <u>modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	Inspector intended the third sentence to be deleted. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Omission – Special Needs Housing

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0016/50026 McCarthy and Stone (Developments) Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.397	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Omission - Open Space

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52758 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52766 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0831/51968 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.402	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Omission – demolition

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51969 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0951/52767 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52759 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.404	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Community facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0929/52642 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
7.409	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by <u>amending</u> the final sentence of the first paragraph in the RJ to Policy H1 to read: “It is also vital that housing development supports the creation and protection of sustainable and balanced communities and avoids instability in local housing markets. It should contribute to the mix of housing types and to the provision of necessary supporting infrastructure and facilities which should be provided at the appropriate time and in accordance with Policy DEV5.”	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector’s recommendation is appropriate	MOD 205
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector’s recommendation is appropriate.	

CHAPTER 8 Employment and the Economy

Introduction/General

0951/52768 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52760 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0831/51970 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

Expression of support

0479/51084 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.2	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these representations.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E1 Regional Investment Site Barton

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0299/50650 John E P Dodd
 0561/51937 Mr S.E Drinkwater
 0904/52186 Trafford MBC
 0951/52397 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0735/51782 Miss J Hart
 1116/55028 CPRE Lancashire Branch
 0521/51420 Lands Improvements Holdings (LIH)
 0972/52458 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0543/51237 Government Office for the North West (GONW)
 0683/51567 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
 0402/50824 P.B M and J Stringer
 0779/51773 Red City Developments
 0170/50257 Burford & Shell (B&S)
 0150/50231 Manchester City Council
 0954/52715 Ian Stewart MP
 0027/50047 Warrington Borough Council
 0882/52840 Highways Agency – **CW**
 0271/50727 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 1114/55007 Northwest Development Agency
 0438/50883 Mr Paul Traynor
 0689/51688 United Utilities – **CW**
 0073/50154 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM) – **CW**

Objections to Revised UDP

0150/60089 Manchester City Council
 0170/60284 Burford & Shell (B&S)
 0930/65276 Environment Agency
 1196/60270 North West Regional Assembly (NWRA)
 0882/60307 Highways Agency **CW**
 0666/60182 Peel Holdings Plc
 0391/60160 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
 0904/60135 Trafford MBC
 0779/60112 Red City Developments Ltd
 0420/60101 GMPTE
 1114/60024 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Support

0073/60051 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97

Objections to Pre Inquiry Changes

0904/70252 Trafford MBC
 0666/70159 Peel Holdings Plc
 0420/70266 GMPTE
 1196/70054 North West Regional Assembly (NWRA)
 0150/70249 Manchester City Council

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.93	the UDP be modified by:			
	A. In accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Change 92 (CD90);	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 302
	B. <u>renaming</u> Policy E1 as "STRATEGIC REGIONAL SITE, BARTON";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 295
	C. <u>substituting</u> the following for the first sentence of Policy E1: "One, or a combination of any two of the following types of development will be permitted on the Barton Strategic Regional Site (80.9ha)"	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 296
	D. <u>replacing</u> all other references to "Regional Investment	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is	MOD 3, 41, 44,

<p>Site" in Policy E1 and in the remainder of the UDP by "Strategic Regional Site";</p> <p>E. inserting the following as a new paragraph after paragraph (b):</p> <p>"Suitable types of enabling development include: x, y, z. Any proposals for other forms of development will be considered as departures from the Plan. Enabling development will be permitted subject to a requirement that it is part of a co-ordinated development including the stadium, each element of which shall be phased. The stadium will be expected to be constructed within an early phase".</p> <p>F. replacing requirement (2) with:</p> <p>"Secure improvements to public transport to the site including, if appropriate, contributions towards the provision of the physical infrastructure of a Metrolink line from Eccles to serve the site. The layout shall allow for the line to extend to the Trafford Centre and Trafford Park.";</p> <p>G. In requirement 5, replacing "area" by "site";</p> <p>H. deleting "attractive" from requirement (8);</p> <p>I. inserting after the 9 requirements:</p> <p>"Development proposals will be required to demonstrate a co-ordinated and phased approach to the provision of their elements and the requirements listed above";.</p> <p>and in the RJ:</p> <p>J. deleting "of Greater Manchester" from the second sentence of the first paragraph;</p> <p>K. deleting the second sentence and the items (i)-(iii) from</p>		appropriate	59, 134, 296, 297, 307, 315, 316, 353, 363, 371, 375, 386, 550
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 298
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 299
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 300
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 301
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 303
	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but that it should refer to the third sentence of the first paragraph, rather than the second.	MOD 304
	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is	MOD 305

	the second paragraph;		appropriate	
	<u>L. deleting</u> the third paragraph;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 306
	<u>M. substituting</u> in the fifth paragraph "Such enabling development..." to the end of the paragraph with: "Such enabling development should seek to maximise community benefits of the stadium and to contribute to the achievement of the strategic objectives of the Plan";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 308
	<u>N. substituting</u> the sixth paragraph by: "The significant scale of the site and the proposed development(s) means that development will be phased, and the whole site may not be built out within the Plan period. Development of the site will be carefully controlled to ensure that provision of key elements such as land remediation, drainage, access, other infrastructure, public transport improvements and landscaping are provided at the appropriate time, and in order to ensure that early developments do not compromise the potential of the remainder of the site. The Council will seek the provision of these elements by means of Planning Obligations and conditions under Policy DEV5. It is anticipated that, relative to its enabling development, the stadium will be developed at an early stage."	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 309
	<u>O. substituting</u> for the fourth sentence of the seventh paragraph: "The design of any development should allow for this Metrolink extension. If a formal decision to proceed with it is made, then any development that would generate a significant number of trips will be required to make an appropriate and proportionate contribution to the provision of its physical infrastructure."; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Partially Accept Accept	The city council broadly accept the Inspector's recommendation, but the form of words proposed by the Inspector would result in some repetition bearing in mind the third sentence within the paragraph as set out in the Revised Deposit Draft Plan. For this reason the city council intend amending the third sentence slightly (as proposed in PIC96) and then amending the fourth sentence as proposed by the Inspector. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 310, 311

Policy E2 Innovation Park

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50728 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0972/52459 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0930/52626 Environment Agency
 0951/52398 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0689/51690 United Utilities - **CW**

Support

1114/55009 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)
 1100/52528 Manchester Chamber of Commerce
 0260/50473 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.98	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E2A Knowledge Capital

Objections to Revised Deposit UDP

1193/60281 ASK Property Developments

Expressions of support

1196/60265 North West Regional Assembly (NWRA)
 0260/60145 University of Salford
 1114/60025 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.107	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: <u>A. defining</u> the area of the Knowledge Capital on the proposals map; <u>B. providing</u> in Policy E2A and in its RJ definitions or descriptions of the type of uses appropriate to the Knowledge Capital, or setting out criteria against which	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 532 MOD 312

	the acceptability of proposals will be judged; C. amending the RJ to Policy E2A to clarify the relationship between the Knowledge Capital and the defined mixed use areas; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 312
	D. expressing Policy E2A in a positive form;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 312
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3 Site for Employment Development

General

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50729 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0543/51239 Government Office for the North West (GONW)
0972/52460 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52399 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0420/51266 GMPTE -**CW**

Support for Revised UDP

0420/60312 GMPTE
1150/65301 Westbury Homes

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.114	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/3

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0964/52752 Sylvia M Phillips

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.119	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/4

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
0930/52628 Environment Agency

Expressions of support
1114/55010 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.122	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/5

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0260/50474 University of Salford - **CW**

Support to 1st Deposit UDP
1114/55011 Northwest Development Agency

Support to Revised UDP
0260/60129 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.125	no modification to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/6

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0710/51912 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee (LH&WCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.127	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/9

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0027/50045 Warrington Borough Council
0666/51662 Peel Holdings Plc – **CW**

Objections to Revised UDP

0666/60208 Peel Holdings Plc - **CW**

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 98

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.130	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 98 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 314
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/11

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0073/50161 Ramblers Association of Manchester
0666/51663 Peel Holdings Plc – **CW**
0800/51911 David Bowers
0882/52841 Highways Agency

Objections to Revised UDP
0666/60209 Peel Holdings Plc – **CW**

Support to Revised UDP
0073/60052 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 99

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.136	the UDP be modified in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 99.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 317
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/12

Support to Revised UDP
1150/65322 Westbury Homes

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.138	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to this representation	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Policy E3/14

Objections to Revised UDP
0882/52842 Highways Agency **CW**

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.142	<u>no modifications</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E3/16 and E3/17

Support to Revised UDP
0904/60297 Trafford MBC
0904/60298 Trafford MBC

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.144	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these representations.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Omission Site

Land fronting Wharton Lane, Little Hulton

0243/50466 A and B Motors

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.150	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by allocating for employment development under Policy E3, land fronting Wharton Lane and to the rear of A&B Motors, Little Hulton, as identified in this objection. The RJ should state that the development of the land is contingent upon the nature conservation of the site being maintained or recreated and managed in accordance with a scheme which would form the subject of a planning obligation.	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation to allocate the site for employment development is appropriate but that in the RJ reference should be made to "nature conservation interest" of the site being maintained rather than just "nature conservation", for the purposes of clarity.	MOD 313, 318, 533, 506
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E4 Employment Development on Unallocated Sites

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
0271/50730 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0972/52461 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52400 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0243/50463 A and B Motors

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.152	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these objections	Accept	The city council accept that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate as this policy was deleted from the Plan at the Revised Deposit stage.	

Policy E5 Development Within Established Employment Areas

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50731 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0220/50366 George Wimpey UK Ltd
0559/51740 Modus Properties
0960/52744 RPS Plc
0951/52402 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52462 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0371/50819 Bellway Homes

Objections to Revised UDP

1190/60226 Taylor Woodrow Developments Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.160	the UDP be <u>modified</u> , by including within the RJ to Policy E5 a fuller explanation of the areas to which this policy should apply;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 319
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy E6 Tourism Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52418 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0730/52148 Cllr Karen Garrido
0479/51085 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0972/52463 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0666/51664 Peel Holdings Plc

0831/51972 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
 0271/50732 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0420/51269 GMPTE
 0683/51569 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
8.170	The UDP be <u>modified</u> by A. the <u>deletion</u> from the final sentence of the penultimate paragraph of the RJ to Policy E6 the words "particularly close to the proposed World Heritage Site of Worsley Village Barton Swing Aqueduct and the Bridgewater Canal"	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 320
	B. the deletion of the remainder of the second paragraph of the RJ to E6 after "...to the location."	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 320
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 9 Retail and Leisure Development

Introduction

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0666/51665 Peel Holdings Plc

Support
0479/51086 North West Tourism Board

Objection to Revised UDP
0666/60210 Peel Holdings Plc

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.3	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy S1 Provision of New Retail and Leisure Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
0951/52699 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0271/50733 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0273/50563 Aldi Stores Ltd
0950/52294 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd
0972/52695 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.5	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these representations	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Policy S2 New Retail and Leisure Development Within Town and Neighbourhood Centres

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
0462/51109 Transloyd Developments Ltd

0779/51774 Red City Developments
 0316/50600 James Cunningham
 0710/51920 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee (LH&WCC)
 0315/50588 Joan Cunningham
 0100/50233 Tesco Stores Limited
 0236/50436 Bruce Thompson
 0271/50734 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0972/52727 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0853/52054 Valley & Vale Properties Ltd
 0903/52179 WM Morrison Supermarkets Plc
 0951/52735 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0960/52743 RPS Plc
 0273/50564 Aldi Stores Ltd **CW**
 0950/52295 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd **CW**

Support

0479/51087 North West Tourism Board

Objections to Revised UDP

0100/60151 Tesco Stores Limited
 0677/60247 Countryside Properties

Support

0273/60020 Aldi Stores Ltd
 0950/60010 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.13	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate as the policy was deleted from the Plan at the Revised Deposit stage.	

Policy S2A Retail and Leisure Development in Salford Quays

Objections to Revised Deposit UDP

0100/60162 Tesco Stores Ltd
 0150/60274 Manchester City Council (**CW**)
 0273/60014 Aldi Stores Ltd
 0543/60040 GONW
 0779/60109 Red City Developments Ltd
 0882/60304 Highways Agency (**CW**)
 0904/60133 Trafford MBC (**WD**)
 0950/60009 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd

1188/60124 Orbit Investments (Salford) Ltd

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 100

Representations to the Pre-Inquiry Change

0150/70247 Manchester City Council
0882/70238 Highways Agency
1196/70055 NWRA
1188/70026 Orbit Investments (Salford) Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.24	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 100 [CD90], but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 322

Policy S2B Retail and Leisure Development Outside Town Centres, Neighbourhood Centres and Salford Quays

Objections to Revised UDP

0100/60163 Tesco Stores Limited
1150/65302 Westbury Homes (CW)
0950/60008 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd
0779/60110 Red City Developments Ltd
0073/60053 Ramblers Association of Manchester
0543/60041 Government Office for the North West (GONW)
0677/60248 Countryside Properties
0273/60015 Aldi Stores Ltd
0150/60275 Manchester City Council

Expressions of support

0420/60103 GMPTE

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106. 107

Objections to Pre-Inquiry Changes

0150/70248 Manchester City Council
0543/70166 Government Office for the North West (GONW)
1188/70027 Orbit Investments (Salford) Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.43	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u>:</p> <p>A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 101, 102 & 106 [CD90];</p> <p>B. by making the following modifications to Policy S2B: <u>revising</u> the introduction; <u>deleting</u> criterion (ii)(c); <u>deleting</u> criterion (ii)(d); <u>revising</u> criterion (ii) (e); and <u>revising</u> criterion (iv); and <u>deleting</u> criterion (vii)</p> <p>C. by <u>deleting</u> the final paragraph of the RJ to Policy S2B. so that together, with the criteria re-numbered, the modified Policy S2B would read:</p> <p>“RETAIL AND LEISURE DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE TOWN CENTRES AND NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRES</p> <p>Outside the town centres and neighbourhood centres designated in Policy S2, planning permission will be granted for retail and leisure only where all of the following criteria are met:</p> <p>i) it can be clearly demonstrated that there is a quantitative and, where appropriate, qualitative need for the development; ii) it can be clearly demonstrated that there are no more appropriate sites or buildings available for part or all of the development, in the following locations in order of priority: a) within town or neighbourhood centres; b) on the edge of town or neighbourhood</p>	<p>Partially Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Partially Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate, however, PIC 102 is superseded by the Inspector's recommendation to revise the introduction to the policy under point B of paragraph 9.43 of his report, and the form of words recommended by the Inspector after point C of that paragraph.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but the wording of his proposed criterion c) should be revised to refer to “an existing centre” rather than “the centre” for clarification purposes.</p>	<p>MOD 323, 330</p> <p>MOD 324 –329 (inclusive)</p> <p>MOD 327, 328, 331</p>

	<p>centres;</p> <p>c) out-of-centre sites, with preference given to sites which are, or will be served by a choice of means of transport and which are close to the centre and have a high likelihood of forming links with the centre.</p> <p>iii) it can be clearly demonstrated that there would be no unacceptable impact on the vitality and viability of any town or neighbourhood centre, either individually or cumulatively with other developments;</p> <p>iv) the site is or will be accessible and well-served by a choice of means of transport;</p> <p>v) the development would not give rise to unacceptable levels of traffic congestion, or have an adverse impact on highway safety in terms of traffic generation, parking or servicing;</p> <p>vi) the development would be of a scale appropriate to the location and would be well related to its intended catchment population;</p> <p>vii) in edge-of-centre locations, the siting and layout of the development maximises the potential for linked trips with the centre itself.”;</p> <p>viii) the development would be of a high standard of design and support an attractive external environment;</p> <p>ix) the development would not have an unacceptable impact on environmental quality or residential amenity.</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Policy S2C Regent Road Retail Warehouse Park

Objections to Revised UDP

0779/60111 Red City Developments Ltd
0882/60305 Highways Agency - **CW**

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 108

Objections to Pre-Inquiry Change

1219/70254 Novembre Properties Limited

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.50	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the deletion of Policy S2C; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 332, 534

Policy S3 Loss of Shops

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52736 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (WCT&AS)

0271/50735 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

0754/52211 David King

0972/52728 Boothstown Residents Association 1990

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.57	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP In response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy S4 Amusement Centres and Food and Drink Uses

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50736 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

0972/52729 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

0418/50992 Mr B. Weir

0951/52737 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.64	that Policy S4 is brought into compliance with the latest amendments to the Town and Country Planning (Use	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 333 – 339 (inclusive)

	Classes) Order 1987; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	--	--------	--	--

Policy S5 Site for New Retail Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50779 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0559/51743 Modus Properties
0972/52730 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52738 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0100/50255 Tesco Stores Limited

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.69	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy Omission

Smaller Shopping Areas

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0479/51088 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
9.73	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 10 Education, Health and Community Facilities

Introduction

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0260/50477 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.2	<u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC0A Provision and Improvement of Schools and Colleges

Objection to Revised UDP
0089/600091 Sport England

Support
0420/601041 GMPTE

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.19	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>addition</u> to the RJ to Policy EHC0A of the following: "The standard of playing field and other recreation provision at new or replaced schools and colleges will depend on whether they are intended to serve only the establishment, or whether some degree of dual use is intended. If the former, then provision should be made in accordance with the standards set out in the Education (Schools Premises) Regulations 1999 or any successor Regulations; and if the latter, then to an equal or greater standard, having regard to current local provision and needs identified in the Council's Urban Space Strategy and Playing Pitch Assessment.";	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However, reference should be made to Salford's Greenspace Strategy rather than the Urban Open Space Strategy, as the Greenspace Strategy is identified in the Council's LDS and incorporates the Urban Open Space Strategy.	MOD 340

	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Policy EHC0B Redevelopment of Redundant Schools and Colleges

Objections to Revised UDP

0089/60092 Sport England

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.23	<u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC1 Provision and Improvement of Health and Community Facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50780 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0831/51973 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0951/52407 Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52506 Boothstown Residents Association (BRA)

Support

0420/51271 GMPTE

Objection to Revised UDP

1199/65209 Mr M Halpern

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.26	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC2 Reuse of Existing Education, Health and Community Facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50781 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0951/52408 Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52507 Boothstown Residents Association (BRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.29	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC3 University of Salford

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0260/50480 University of Salford(CW)
 0951/52410 Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52508 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0271/50782 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support to 1st Deposit UDP

0073/50211 Ramblers Association of Manchester
 0479/51093 North West Tourism Board

Support to Revised Deposit UDP

0260/60132 University of Salford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.34	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC4 Hope Hospital

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52411 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0271/50783 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0652/51486 G Ainsworth

0972/52509 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0457/51078 Salford Primary Care Trust (SPCT)

Support

0420/51274 GMPTE
 0479/51094 North West Tourism Board

Objection to Revised UDP

0652/60251 G Ainsworth

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 109, 110

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.47	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with Pre Inquiry Change 110 [CD90]; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 342
	by substituting the following for criterion (ii) of Policy EHC1: “(ii) Transport issues are addressed in a co-ordinated manner, particularly through the development of a Travel Plan; improved public transport; and provision for cycling, pedestrians, car parking and access/egress.”	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However, the city council consider that it was the Inspector's intention to amend criterion ii) of Policy EHC4 rather than criterion ii) of Policy EHC1 as specified in his recommendation. This view is supported by the Inspector's reasoning given in paragraph 10.42 of his report.	MOD 341
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC5 Sites for Travelling People

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52412 Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52510 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0271/50784 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.52	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC6 Sites for the Provision of Health Facilities

General / Omission

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50785 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Expressions of support

0457/51072 Salford Primary Care Trust

0951/52413 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

0972/52511 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.56	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC6/3

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0737/51795 H Mason

0744/51842 Eric J E Heaton

0592/51328 M Barnes

0403/51437 G I Pattinson

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.58	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC6/4

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0710/ 51918 Little Hulton & Walkden Community Committee (LH&WCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.60	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC7 Site for the Provision of a New School

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52414 Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52512 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50786 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0730/52149 Cllr Karen Garrido

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.64	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EHC8 Site for the Provision of Education, Health or Community Facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0666/51666 Peel Holdings Plc
0271/50787 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0010/50019 Lorraine Rogers

Expressions of support

0730/52151 Cllr Karen Garrido
0972/52513 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52416 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.74	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>deletion</u> of Policy EHC8;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 344, 535
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is	

	these objections.		appropriate	
--	-------------------	--	-------------	--

Policy EHC9 Site for the Provision of Cemetery Facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50781	Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0951/52408	Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52507	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0403/50829	GI Pattinson
0426/51673	Mrs Brown

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.78	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Omission – Eccles College

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0522/ 51435 Eccles College

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.80	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Omission – A Policy for Prisons

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0145/50196 HM Prison Service (HMPS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.85	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is	

	this objection.		appropriate	
--	-----------------	--	-------------	--

Omission – A Policy for Places of Worship

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0004/50013 Ian Cox

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.87	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Omission – Land at Vicar's Hall Lane, Boothstown

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52414 Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52512 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50786 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0730/52149 Cllr Karen Garrido

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
10.91	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 11 Accessibility

General / Introduction

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0012/50021 Mr J Ruthven-Fox

Objection to Revised Deposit UDP
1150/65303 Westbury Homes
0666/60211 Peel Holdings

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.8	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A1 Transport Assessments and Travel Plans

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP
0073/50164 Ramblers Association of Manchester(CW)
0271/50789 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0882/52843 Highways Agency (HA) (CW)
0951/52419 Worsley & Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52554 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support to 1st Deposit UDP
0391/50703 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
0420/51276 GMPTE
0479/51095 North Western Tourism Board

Objection to Revised Deposit UDP
0652/60253 Mr G Ainsworth
0666/60212 Peel Holdings plc (CW)

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 111, 112, 113, 114

Objection to Pre-Inquiry Changes
0543/70167 GONW

Support for Pre-Inquiry Changes
0882/70239 Highways Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.14	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 111, 112, 113 and 114 [CD90]; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 346 -350 (inclusive)

Policy A2 Cyclists, Pedestrians and the Disabled

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50790 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0929/52549 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0073/50170 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)
0972/52555 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52420 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0260/50481 University of Salford - **CW**

Support

0479/51096 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0420/51278 GMPTE

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.21	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A3 Metrolink

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51487 Geoff Ainsworth
0954/52714 Ian Stewart MP
0271/50791 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0424/51341 A. E. Nicolson
0951/52421 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

0730/52152 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0882/52855 Highways Agency- **CW**
 0972/52665 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0873/52653 Mike Warham
 0754/52204 David King
 0800/51910 David Bowers
 0829/52087 Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (WELD)
 0735/51778 Miss J Hart

Support

0260/50482 University of Salford
 0479/51097 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 1114/55014 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)
 1100/52532 Manchester Chamber of Commerce

Objection to Revised UDP

1187/60113 Strategic Rail Authority

Support to Revised UDP

0904/60138 Trafford MBC

Pre Inquiry Change - PIC115

Support to Pre Inquiry Change

0391/70053 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.38	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council Pre Inquiry Change 115 [CD90].	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 355
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A4 Railways

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0829/52090 Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (WELD)
 0972/52667 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0260/50483 University of Salford - **CW**
 0271/50793 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)

0929/52550 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0754/52621 David King
 0951/52673 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0391/50701 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
 0479/51098 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 0420/51281 GMPTE

Support to Revised UDP

0260/60143 University of Salford

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC116

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.44	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A5 Buses

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52674 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0851/52034 Audrey Heyes
 0831/51974 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
 0047/50303 Mr D Mathews
 0929/52551 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0873/52640 Mike Warham
 0972/52668 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0652/51488 Geoff Ainsworth
 0730/52153 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0271/50794 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51099 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 1100/52531 Manchester Chamber of Commerce

Objection to Revised UDP

0652/60254 G Ainsworth

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.54	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A6 Taxis

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52669 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52675 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0271/50795 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0260/50484 University of Salford
0479/51100 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.58	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A7 Park and Ride

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0730/52154 K Garrido
0271/50796 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0831/51975 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0929/52552 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0972/52670 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0073/50174 Ramblers Association of Manchester
0951/52676 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0683/51570 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
0420/51286 GMPTE

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference

11.64	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. transferring “areas of recreational use” from criterion (vii) to criterion (vi); and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 356
	B. adding a new criterion e) at the end of the policy, to read: “Any new or reused buildings included in the development are only for essential facilities associated with the operation of the Park and Ride scheme.”	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 358
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A8 Impact of Development on the Highway Network

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52671 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0929/52553 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0951/52677 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0730/52155 K Garrido
0882/52858 Highways Agency - **CW**
0271/50797 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0260/50485 University of Salford

Pre Inquiry Changes - PICs 117, 118

Objection to Pre Inquiry Changes

0543/70168 Government Office North West (GONW)

Support

0882/70240 Highways Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.72	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Changes 117 and 118;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 360, 361
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A9 Provision of New Highways

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0829/52098 Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (WELD)
 0271/50798 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0652/51531 Geoff Ainsworth
 0730/52156 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0972/52672 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52678 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0929/52556 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Support

0420/51287 GMPTE
 1114/55015 Northwest Development Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.79	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A9/1

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0754/51744 David King
 0501/51212 Clariant UK Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.82	<u>no recommendation</u> in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Policy A9/2

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51491 G Ainsworth

Support

1114/55018 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.88	no modification to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A9/3

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

1116/55029 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0621/51843 Stephen Drinkwater
0299/50645 John E P Dodd
0438/50932 Paul Traynor
0735/51779 Miss J Hart
1111/55001 Phil Parry
0882/52873 Highways Agency
0882/52872 Highways Agency (CW)
0521/51422 Lands Improvements Holdings

Support

1114/55016 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.97	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. <u>deleting</u> from Policy A9 and from the proposals map: "3) A57-M62 Barton Moss link road"; B. <u>adding</u> in Policy A9, after (c) : "Positive consideration will be given to a link road between the A57 and the M62 at Barton, subject to: it being constructed in conjunction with development at the Strategic Regional Site under Policy E1; and it being demonstrated that the benefits to be gained outweigh	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 362, 536 MOD 370

	<p>harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness and any other harm. Particular consideration will be given to benefits the road may bring in terms of:</p> <p>i) enhancing the economic potential of the Barton site; ii) maximising freight transport by sustainable means; & iii) improving traffic safety and congestion in the locality.</p> <p>C. <u>revising</u> the beginning of the third paragraph of the RJ to read:</p> <p>“An A57-M62 link road has the potential to enhance the development of the Barton Strategic Regional Site (Policy E1) and to contribute to sustainable transport in the area. However, as it would have to run through the Green Belt, these benefits will have to be balanced in the context of particular development proposals against harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm.”;</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 373</p>
--	---	-----------------------------	---	----------------

Policy A9/4

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51492 Geoff Ainsworth
0666/51695 Peel Holdings Ltd
0735/52929 J Hart

Support

1114/55017 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.104	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. the <u>addition</u> of the following to the end of Policy A9(4):</p> <p>“The precise line of scheme A9(4) will be subject to further consideration, and land in the vicinity of the line shown on the proposals map will be safeguarded for future</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 365

	<p>provision. Other development that would be likely to prejudice the construction of the scheme will not be permitted"; and</p> <p>B. the <u>addition</u> of the following after the second sentence of the third paragraph to the RJ to Policy A9:</p> <p>"There are a number of alternative routes that could fulfil the same requirements. The precise alignment will be determined after further investigation, integrated with the form of development on the Barton site and infrastructure on the Trafford side of the canal".</p> <p>but that no <u>further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 374</p>
--	---	-----------------------------	---	----------------

Policy A9/5

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
See ANNEX D in the Inspector's Report

Support

0301/50659 D Carvath
0159/50224 Ben Rowbottom
0112/50110 A McConochie

Pre Inquiry Changes - PICs 119, 120, 123, 157

Objections to Pre Inquiry Changes
See ANNEX D in the Inspector's Report

Support to Pre Inquiry Changes

0312/70122 Jane Mathers
0313/70095 Robert Mathers
0073/70012 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)
0480/70003 Sandra Boardman

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.112	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Changes 119, 120, 123, and 157 [CD90];	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but that the word "and" should be inserted after scheme 2) as the Inspector recommends the deletion of criterion 3) in paragraph 11.112.	MOD 364, 366, 376, 537
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A9/6

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0027/50046 Warrington Borough Council
0754/52181 David King

Objections to Revised UDP

1201/65323 Maro Developments Ltd
0882/60306 Highways Agency - **CW**
0666/60213 Peel Holdings Plc - **CW**

Support

1114/60027 Northwest Development Agency (NWDA)

Pre Inquiry Changes - PIC121, 122, 158

Objection to Pre Inquiry Changes

0904/70253 Trafford MBC

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.117	the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			
	A. in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Changes 121, 122 124 & 158 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 367, 368, 377, 538
	B. the <u>addition</u> of the following after the third sentence of the final paragraph of the Reasoned Justification to Policy	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 378

	<p>A9:</p> <p>“Further investigations, including a joint feasibility study involving Trafford Metropolitan Council and other involved parties, including adjoining local authorities, will be carried out prior to the scheme progressing”; and</p> <p>C. the <u>removal</u> of “However” from the beginning of the following sentence.</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	MOD 379
--	--	-----------------------------	---	---------

Policy A10 Provision of Car, Cycle and Motorcycle Parking in New Developments

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52685 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0273/50587 Aldi Stores Ltd - **CW**
0652/51490 Geoff Ainsworth
0972/52681 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50800 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)

Support

0420/51289 GMPTE

Support to Revised UDP

1150/65307 Westbury Homes

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.123	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. the <u>addition</u> of the following as a penultimate paragraph in Policy A10:</p> <p>“Car parking provision in residential developments will be assessed on a case by case basis, having regard to the type and accommodation of the properties, their location, the availability of and proximity to public transport, the availability of shared parking facilities, and the existing level of on-street parking. Development with more than 1.5</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 381

	<p>off-street parking spaces per dwelling or unit of accommodation, averaged over the City area, is unlikely to be regarded as sustainable.”</p> <p>B. the <u>addition</u> of the following after the first sentence of the RJ to Policy A10:</p> <p>“While the City Council looks for compliance with these standards, it recognises that for some minor development and in certain situations it may be impracticable to comply, or unreasonable to require full compliance. Consequently, the standards will be applied flexibly in relation to such developments, having regard to the particular circumstances of the case”.</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	MOD 382
--	--	-----------------------------	---	---------

Policy A11 Provision of Long Stay Commuter Car Parks

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50801 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0951/52686 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52682 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0650/51550 BT plc – **CW**

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.128	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by <u>replacing</u> Policy A11 along the following lines:</p> <p>“Planning permission will not be granted for long-stay surface commuter parking other than in the following circumstances:</p> <p>a) when expressly provided in association with new development; or b) for a temporary period on land proposed for redevelopment.</p> <p>Permission will only be granted where the development would:</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 383

	i) provide high levels of personal safety and vehicular security; ii) not prejudice the development of the site for other purposes; and iii) be consistent with the regeneration strategy of the UDP; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	--	--------	--	--

Policy A12 Provision of Coach and Lorry Parks

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50802 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0831/51976 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0951/52687 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52683 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0683/51574 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.132	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these representations.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A13 Freight Transport

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0904/52187 Trafford MBC
0951/52688 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0271/50803 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0882/52874 Highways Agency - **CW**
0831/51977 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0521/51419 Lands Improvements Holdings
0972/52684 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0420/51288 GMPTE
0683/51575 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)

Objection to Revised UDP

0904/60141 Trafford MBC

Pre Inquiry Change - PIC125

Support to Pre Inquiry Change

0882/70241 Highways Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.137	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 125 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 384
	but that no <u>further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A14 Barton Aerodrome

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0189/51777 English Heritage - **CW**
0271/50804 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0882/52875 Highways Agency - **CW**
0009/50018 Mr & Mrs G Fletcher
0299/50648 John E P Dodd
0580/51302 Manchester Airport
0951/52690 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52691 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0751/51728 General Aviation Awareness Council
0236/50432 Bruce Thompson

Objection to Revised UDP

1189/60227 CK Davies

Support

1200/65175 Lancashire Aero Club
1192/65173 Light Planes (Lancashire) Ltd

0073/60054 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Pre Inquiry Change - PIC 126

Support to Pre Inquiry Change
0882/70242 Highways Agency – **CW**

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.145	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 126 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 387
	but that no <u>further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy A15 Safeguarding Potential Transport Routes

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0929/52561 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0391/50699 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd - **CW**
0271/50846 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society
0391/50700 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd
0479/51101 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Support

0420/51290 GMPTE

Objection to Revised UDP

0652/60256 G Ainsworth

Support

0904/60142 Trafford MBC
0391/60154 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd

Pre-Inquiry Change PIC127

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
11.152	the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			

	A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 127;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 388
	and			
	B. by adding to the end of Policy ST5(F) the words: "...and passengers";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 133
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 12 Environmental Protection and Improvement

Introduction / General

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP
0424/51337 A. E. Nicolson

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.3	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN1 Development Affecting the Green Belt

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0890/52869 The Countryside Agency
0730/52157 Cllr Karen Garrido
0479/51102 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0142/51026 Viridor Waste Management Ltd
0929/52567 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0831/51978 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
1102/52885 Dr & Mrs Seely
0951/52769 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
1116/55030 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0271/50847 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0402/50817 P.B M and J Stringer
0296/50615 R&C Love Ltd
0136/50144 Dr J.E .Marginson
0972/52761 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0873/52651 Mike Warham
0089/50591 Sport England

Support to Revised Deposit UDP

0073/60055 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.25	the UDP be <u>modified by</u> :			
	A. <u>adding</u> to the beginning of Policy EN1: "Other than in very special circumstances...";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 392
	B. <u>adding</u> a new section to the Policy after (d), as follows: "The carrying out of engineering and other operations and the making of material changes in the use of land are inappropriate development unless they maintain openness and do not conflict with the purposes of including land in the Green Belt."	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 393
	C. in the third paragraph of the RJ, the <u>replacement</u> of "exceptional circumstances" by "very special circumstances";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 395
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN2 Worsley Greenway

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0730/52158 Cllr Karen Garrido
0929/52581 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0709/51897 Old Warke Dam Society (OWDS)
0271/50848 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0710/51913 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee (LH&WCC)
0683/51577 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
0972/52762 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52770 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0479/51104 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0073/50175 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAM)
0599/51380 Greenway

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.31	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN3 Greenfield Land

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52771	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0829/52102	Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (W&ELD)
0730/52159	Karen Garrido
0769/52540	English Nature
0890/52870	The Countryside Agency
0929/52589	Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0271/50849	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0972/52763	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0521/51416	Lands Improvements Holdings

Support

0683/51578	Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
1116/55031	CPRE Lancashire Branch
0479/51129	North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.33	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these representations	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Policy EN4 Agricultural Land

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0829/52105	Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (W&ELD)
0271/50850	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0929/52591	Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Support

0972/52807 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 1116/55032 CPRE Lancashire Branch
 0479/51130 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 0951/52818 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.38	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>replacement</u> of criteria (i) to (v) in the RJ to Policy EN4 with criteria consistent with paragraph 28 of PPS7 [CD169] along the lines indicated in paragraph 12.36 of this report.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 396
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN5 Farm Diversification

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0730/52161 Karen Garrido
 0271/50851 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 1116/55033 CPRE Lancashire Branch
 0890/52871 The Countryside Agency
 0951/52819 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52808 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0929/52592 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Support

0479/51131 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.45	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy EN5 and its RJ; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 397
	B. its <u>replacement</u> with a criterion-based policy reflecting the advice of PPS7 [CD169]. The RJ should include reference to the approach to be taken to farm diversification in the Green Belt, also consistent with PPS7;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 397

	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Policy EN6 Irwell Valley

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51979 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0972/52809 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50852 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0951/52820 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0479/51132 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0683/51579 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
0073/50178 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 159

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.49	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 159 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 539
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN7 Nature Conservation

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52821 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0243/50467 A and B Motors
0188/50590 Lanstar
0271/50853 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0831/51980 Moorside South Residents Association(MSRA)
1112/55002 Harvest Housing Group
0972/52810 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
1116/55034 CPRE Lancashire Branch

Support

0929/52594 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0479/51133 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.52	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these representations.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Policy EN7A Nature Conservation Sites of International Importance

Objections to Revised Deposit UDP

0073/60056 Ramblers Association of Manchester
0142/60029 Viridor Waste Management Ltd

Support

0769/60195 English Nature

Pre-Inquiry Change PIC 128

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.57	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>replacement</u> of Policy EN7A (i) by: (i) it has been clearly demonstrated that there are no alternative solutions in terms of suitable and available sites which are reasonable alternatives for the proposed development or different practicable approaches which would have a lesser impact; and";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 398
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN7B Nature Conservation Sites of National Importance

Objection to Revised Deposit UDP

0142/60030 Viridor Waste Management Ltd

Support

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.62	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. the <u>deletion</u> of part (d) of Policy EN7B; and B. the <u>deletion</u> of the penultimate sentence of the RJ of Policy EN7B and its replacement with: "Where appropriate, the granting of planning permission may be conditional upon the entering into of such an agreement.";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 399
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 400

Policy EN7C Nature Conservation Sites of Local Importance

Objections to Revised Deposit UDP

0243/60114

A and B Motors

0142/60031

Viridor Waste Management Ltd

Support

0769/60197

English Nature

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 129, 130, 164

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.85	the UDP be <u>modified</u> : A. in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Changes 129, 130 & 164 [CD90]; and B. by the <u>substitution</u> of "33" for "32" in the first sentence of the third paragraph to the RJ to Policy EN7C;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 401, 403, 540
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 402

Policy EN7D Wildlife Corridors

Objections to Revised Deposit UDP

0142/60032 Viridor Waste Management Ltd
1150/65310 Westbury Homes (CW)

Expression of support

0769/60198 English Nature

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 160

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.92	that the UDP be modified A. in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Change 159	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 541
	B. by the removal from the proposals map the designated Wildlife Corridor Key area of Search on the land bounded by Liverpool Road, Cadishead Way and the draft housing allocation H9/30	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 542
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN7E Protection of Species

Objections to Revised Deposit UDP

0142/60033 Viridor Waste Management Ltd
0666/60215 Peel Holdings Plc - **CW**
0243/60116 A and B Motors

Support

0769/60199 English Nature

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 131, 132, 133

Objections to Pre-Inquiry Changes

1212/70201 EM James
0365/70200 Swinton's Open Space Community Association (SOSCA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.97	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Changes 131, 132 & 133 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 405, 406, 407
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN8 Mosslands

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0651/51474 RSPB
1116/55035 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0142/51027 Viridor Waste Management Ltd - **CW**
0831/51983 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0730/52162 Karen Garrido
0972/52811 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50854 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0951/52822 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0929/52595 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0479/51134 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Objections to Revised UDP

0666/60216 Peel Holdings Plc
0594/60099 The Scotts Company (UK) Ltd
0142/60034 Viridor Waste Management Ltd

Support

0769/60200 English Nature

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.120	the UDP be <u>modified</u> : 1. by the <u>replacement</u> of Policy EN8 by the following: Policy EN8	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 408

	<p>MOSSLANDS</p> <p>"In the mosslands:</p> <p>A. development that would affect the conservation value or the integrity of an existing or restored lowland raised bog habitat will only be permitted subject to the criteria (a) to (c) of Policy EN7C;</p> <p>B. development that would affect land which has the potential to be restored to lowland raised bog habitat will be permitted:</p> <p>a). <u>in the Heartland</u>: only where the development would not prevent that restoration in the future; and</p> <p>b). <u>elsewhere in the mosslands</u> only where:</p> <p>i) the development would not prevent that restoration in the future; or</p> <p>ii) provision is made as part of the development for the restoration of an area of lowland raised bog habitat elsewhere in the mosslands, equivalent to that potential area lost as a result of the development; or</p> <p>iii) the development secures major nature conservation benefits for the mosslands if it has been clearly demonstrated that either restoration pursuant to (ii) is not practically feasible or the major conservation benefits outweigh the benefits that would arise from restoration pursuant to (ii);</p> <p>C. development on land that cannot practicably be restored to lowland raised bog habitat will be permitted provided it would not prevent the restoration of other land to that habitat; <u>or</u> as part of the development, provision is made in accordance with criterion B(ii).</p> <p>In every case, the overall nature conservation interest of the mosslands will be maintained.</p> <p>Where appropriate, conditions or planning obligations will be used to ensure the protection, enhancement and management of the mosslands' nature conservation interest</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

	<p>2. by <u>amending</u> the RJ to Policy EN8 by:</p> <p>(a) <u>adding</u> the following as a new third paragraph:</p> <p>“Some existing or restored lowland raised bog habitat has the status of a Site of Biological Importance (SBI), and is therefore subject to the provisions of Policy EN7C. Other land may achieve a similar nature conservation value through restoration work. In order to provide an appropriate and consistent level of protection to such land, development affecting it will be subject to the same tests of Policy EN7C, as if it had the equivalent status.”</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 410
	<p>(b) <u>substituting</u> the following for the second and remaining sentences of the third paragraph:</p> <p>“This restoration potential requires a minimum depth of peat and particular hydrological conditions to be successful. Proposals for development involving the removal of peat with the potential for restoration to lowland raised bog habitat will be required to demonstrate that these conditions will exist and that the potential of other land to be restored to that habitat will not be impaired.”; and</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 411
	<p>(c) substituting the first sentence of the final paragraph of the RJ to Policy EN8 with:</p> <p>“The proposed Nature Conservation and Biodiversity Supplementary Planning Document will provide further guidance on the implementation of this policy”</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 414
	<p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN9 Important Landscape Features

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50855	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0972/52812	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52823	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0831/51984	Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

0730/52163 Karen Garrido
1116/55036 CPRE Lancashire Branch

Support

0479/51135 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0929/52596 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0890/52877 The Countryside Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.125	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>amendment</u> of the third sentence of the RJ of Policy EN9 to read: "The protection of these features from adverse development, and their replacement when they are lost in order to secure the same amenity value for the area, will assist in enhancing the attractiveness and image of the City."; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 415

Policy EN10 Protected Trees

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51985 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0271/50856 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0951/52824 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52814 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0479/51136 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
929/52597 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.130	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN11 Derelict, Underused and Neglected Land

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0559/51739	Modus Properties
0271/50857	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0142/51029	Viridor Waste Management Ltd - CW
0501/51213	Clariant UK Ltd

Support

0038/50105	Red Rose Forest
0479/51137	North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Support to Revised UDP

1150/65311	Westbury Homes
0690/60126	United Utilities (External Planning Liaison)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.137	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the replacement of Policy EN11 with the following: “Development involving the reclamation, remediation or improvement of derelict, underused or neglected land should include measures to ensure that: i) physical risks to the public are reduced to acceptable levels; ii) site conditions appropriate to the proposed use of the land are created; iii) contamination of the land is addressed in accordance with the provisions of Policy EN13; and iv) where appropriate, the existing ecological value of the site is protected or enhanced.” but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 416
		Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN12 Unstable Land

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0142/51031 Viridor Waste Management Ltd - **CW**
 0271/50858 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 Support
 0479/51138 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.140	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN13 Contaminated Land

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50859 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Expressions of support

0479/51139 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 0683/51597 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
 0972/52815 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52825 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0690/60127 United Utilities (External Planning Liaison)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.143	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN14 Pollution Control

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50860 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0002/50010 K Hunt
 0652/51532 G Ainsworth
 0951/52826 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52816 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 1116/5037 CPRE Lancashire Branch

0650/51551

BT plc - **CW**

Support

0929/52598

Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.153	<p>the UDP be modified by the <u>revision</u> of Policy EN14 as follows:</p> <p>"Policy EN14 POLLUTION CONTROL</p> <p>"Development proposals that would be likely to cause or contribute towards a significant increase in pollution to the air (including dust pollution), water or soil, or by reason of noise, odour, artificial light or vibration, will not be permitted unless they include mitigation measures commensurate with the scale and impact of the development. Potential releases of pollution must be capable of being adequately regulated by the relevant pollution control authority under the pollution control framework.</p> <p>When assessing such proposals, particular regard will be had to the proximity of the development and its effect upon environmentally sensitive uses, buildings, features, areas and considerations such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) - iv) [AS EXISTING] v) the landscape; vi) the quality of soil, air, and ground and surface waters; vii) nature conservation; viii) agricultural land quality; ix) water supply; and x) archaeological designations. <p>Consideration will also be given to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the cumulative effect of pollution, having regard to the effects of existing sources of pollution; 	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 420

	<p>(b) any balancing benefits of the development.</p> <p>In areas where existing levels of pollution exceed local or national standards, planning permission will be granted for environmentally sensitive developments only where the development incorporates adequate measures to ensure that there is no unacceptable risk or nuisance to occupiers, and that they are provided with an appropriate and satisfactory level of amenity.</p> <p>Reasoned Justification</p> <p>Pollution has a major impact on health, amenity, natural resources and quality of life. The planning system will be used in conjunction with other powers, co-ordinated with the pollution control regimes exercised by other agencies, in order to minimise the generation and effects of pollution as far as possible, for example by controlling development likely to give rise to an increase in pollution and ensuring that uses sensitive to pollution are appropriately located.</p> <p>The acceptability of development giving rise to pollution of all kinds will be judged by reference to national regulations, and to standards set by regulatory bodies and the local authority. Planning applications should contain sufficient information for the local authority to assess the likelihood of pollution and its potential effects. An air quality assessment will need to be submitted as part of any Transport Assessment where a development could have significant air quality impacts, along with details of mitigation measures.</p> <p>Mitigation measures and contributions to pollution reduction may come in many forms, including pre-emission treatment, engineering works, the adoption of alternative working practices, building design, noise attenuation, travel plans, public transport improvements, cycle facilities, lighting cowl and beam angle reduction, tree planting and landscaping.”</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.</p>			
		Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN15 Protection of Water Resources

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51986 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
 0972/52817 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0271/50862 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0951/52827 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Support

0929/52599 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0690/51715 United Utilities (External Planning Liaison)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.157	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN16 Flood Risk and Surface Water

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52828 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0271/50868 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0972/52829 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0930/52635 Environment Agency

Support

0929/52600 Worsley Village Community Association

Support to Revised UDP

0690/60128 United Utilities (External Planning Liaison)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.176	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. <u>replacing</u> Policy EN16 by the following: "FLOOD RISK AND SURFACE WATER	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 421

	<p>Development, including the alteration of land levels, will not be permitted where it would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) be subject to an unacceptable risk of flooding; ii) materially increase the risk of flooding elsewhere; or iii) result in an unacceptable maintenance liability for the City Council or any other agency in terms of dealing with flooding issues. <p>Any application for development that is considered likely to be at risk of flooding, or to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere materially, will need to be accompanied by a formal flood risk assessment that should accurately assess the level of flood risk involved. Where appropriate, it should clearly identify the mitigation or other measures to be incorporated into the development or undertaken on other land which are designed to reduce that risk of flooding to an acceptable level.</p> <p>In determining the potential impact of the proposed development on the risk of flooding elsewhere, particular regard will be had to the extent to which the development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) is located within or impacts upon a functional floodplain or floodzone; b) incorporates protection, attenuation or mitigation measures, and the use of source control techniques and sustainable drainage systems; and c) provides adequate access to watercourses for maintenance purposes. <p>Where development would be subject to a significant flood risk, including on allocated sites, and it is not possible to reduce the risk to an acceptable level through design solutions or other measures secured through the development, it will be allowed to proceed only in co-ordination with the completion of those elements of the River Irwell Flood Control Scheme which are necessary to mitigate the identified risk satisfactorily.</p> <p>Development will not be permitted unless adequate provision is made for the discharge of foul and surface water associated with the proposal.</p> <p>B. Amending the RJ to Policy EN16 in the following way:</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is	MOD 422, 423,
--	---	--------	--	---------------

	<p>add after the first sentence of the first paragraph:</p> <p>"It can affect parts of Lower Broughton, Charlestown, Kersal and Clifton Junction lying in the flood plain of the River Irwell; parts of Barton, Peel Green, Winton, Worsley and the Linnyslaw area of Walkden lying in the flood plain of several brooks; parts of Irlam falling in the flood plain of Platt's Brook and the River Irwell (old course); land adjoining the Glaze Brook in Cadishead; and land adjoining the Shaw Brook and Whitehead Brook to the north of Worsley Moss, in Worsley and Boothstown. These areas are shown diagrammatically in Appendix X and in greater detail on the Environment Agency's Indicative Flood Plain Maps.</p> <p><u>-amend</u> the final sentence of the first paragraph: "Risk, and the measures which may be required to mitigate it, will be assessed by reference to the Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, in consultation with the Environment Agency, Flood Risk Maps and local knowledge";</p> <p><u>-amend</u> the second paragraph: "For sites thought to be at risk from flooding developers will be required to undertake an assessment of flood risk and the run-off implications of their proposals that is appropriate to the scale and nature of the development and the risks involved."</p> <p>-Add to the final paragraph: "Applications involving the culverting of a watercourse will only be permitted if there is no reasonably practical alternative or if the effects would not justify a more costly alternative. In all cases, where it is appropriate to do so, adequate mitigation must be provided for any damage caused. The reopening of culverted watercourses where</p> <p>this leads to environmental and flood defence improvements, will be encouraged."</p> <p>C. <u>including</u> a map as an appendix to the UDP, showing the indicative Flood Plains in the City, of no less than A4 in size, annotated to show the main built-up areas, including the names of main settlements, watercourses</p>		<p>appropriate</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	424, 425, 551
--	---	--	--	---------------

	and main transport routes; but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Policy EN16A Flood Risk and Surface Water

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.177	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP with respect to Policy EN16A.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN17 Renewable Energy

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50869 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0902/52150 Future Energy Solutions
0829/52094 Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (WELD)

Support

0972/52845 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0951/52850 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Objection to Revised UDP

1196/60268 North West Regional Assembly

Support

0902/60279 Future Energy Solutions

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.183	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the addition of the following after the second sentence of the RJ to Policy EN17. "Development proposals should demonstrate any environmental, economic and social benefits as well as how any environmental and social impacts have been minimised through careful consideration of location, scale,	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 426

	design and other measures".			
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN17A Resource Conservation

Objections to Revised UDP

1150/65312 Westbury Homes **CW**
0543/60042 Government Office for the North West (GONW) **CW**
0652/60257 G Ainsworth
1196/60269 North West Regional Assembly (NWRA) **CW**

Support

0902/60280 Future Energy Solutions

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140

Objection to PICs

0666/70160 Peel Holdings Plc

Support for PICs

0038/70001 Red Rose Forest
1196/70056 North West Regional Assembly

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.193	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Changes 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139 and 140 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 428 - 432 (inclusive)
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy EN18 Environmental Improvement Corridors

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0002/50009 K Hunt
0831/51987 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

0951/52851	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0652/51533	G Ainsworth
0972/52846	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50870	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0666/51702	Peel Holdings Pl

0730/52164 K Garrido
0929/52601 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0350/50877 British Waterways

0652/60258	G Ainsworth
0666/ 60217	Peel Holdings Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
12.198	the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			
	A. <u>in accordance</u> with Pre-Inquiry Changes 141 and 142 [CD90]; &	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	Mod 433, 435
	B. by <u>adding</u> at the end of the first paragraph to the RJ to Policy EN18:	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	Mod 434
	"It is also recognised that, in the case of development of land along the Manchester Ship Canal that is related to its operational role, it might not always be practicable to preserve or make a positive contribution to the environmental improvement corridor".			
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 13 The City's Heritage

Policy CH1 Proposed World Heritage Site

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50871 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0929/52602 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0666/51703 Peel Holdings Ltd

Support

0730/52165 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0479/51140 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 0951/52852 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52847 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0904/52207 Trafford MBC

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.7	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>deletion</u> of Policy CH1 and its RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 436, 543
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy CH2 Works to Listed Building

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50901 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0479/51141 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 0831/51988 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

Support

0951/52853 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52848 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.13	<p>I RECOMMEND that Policies CH2 and CH3 should be <u>combined</u> along the following lines, and the RJ for each policy combined in a similar fashion:</p> <p>CH2 WORKS TO, AND DEMOLITION OF LISTED BUILDINGS</p> <p>A. Proposals for the alteration, extension, change of use or demolition, whether partial or total, of a listed building will be considered in relation to the effect on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the importance of the building; b) the particular physical features of the building; c) the building's setting and contribution to the local scene; and d) the extent to which the proposed works would bring substantial benefits for the community. <p>B. Proposals involving the alteration, extension, change of use or partial demolition of a Listed Building will be permitted only where they would preserve or enhance the character and features of special architectural interest that contribute to the reasons for its listing.</p> <p>C. Total or partial demolition of a listed building, or its change of use, will be permitted only where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) it is not practicable or economically feasible to continue to use the building for its existing or a previous purpose; b) it can be clearly demonstrated that there is no other viable use of the building and no alternative viable means of securing its preservation; and c) that in relation to total demolition, any proposed redevelopment or the creation of a cleared site would not cause unacceptable harm to the setting of any remaining listed buildings. <p>D. Where consent for demolition is granted in accordance with criteria C (a) – (c) above, it will be subject to conditions requiring:</p>	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 437, 438, 439

	a) the prior approval of detailed plans for the replacement development, together with the letting contract for the development; and b) the recording of details of the listed building. but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	--	--------	--	--

Policy CH3 Demolition of Listed Buildings

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0929/52604 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0730/52166 Cllr Karen Garrido
0972/52849 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50902 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0951/52854 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0831/51989 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)

Support

0479/51142 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.18	that Policies CH2 and CH3 should be <u>combined</u> in the form recommended in Paragraph 13.13 of the Inspector's report	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 437, 438, 439
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy CH4 Development Affecting the Setting of a Listed Building

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50903 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0189/50606 English Heritage - **CW**

Support

0479/51143 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0929/52606 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
0730/52167 Cllr Karen Garrido

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.21	<u>no modification</u> in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy CH5 Works Within Conservation Area

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0029/50053 J and G Hamilton
 0315/50592 Joan Cunningham
 0316/50605 James Cunningham
 0271/50904 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0929/52607 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0964/52754 Sylvia M. Phillips
 0730/52168 Cllr Karen Garrido
 0175/50408 D.R.Emery
 0496/51258 N Mountrey
 1103/52887 Francis Walsh
 0709/51899 Old Warke Dam Society (OWDS)

Support

0479/51148 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
 0972/52863 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52868 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.27	that Policy CH5 be <u>modified</u> by:			
	a) the <u>substitution</u> of "character or appearance" for "character and appearance" in the first sentence; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 440
	b) the <u>substitution</u> of "preserving or enhancing" for "preserving and enhancing" in the second sentence of the RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 441
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy CH6 Demolition of Buildings Within Conservation Areas

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0178/50413 Mrs M.C Moore
0951/52908 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52909 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50905 Worsley Civic Trust and Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0479/51149 North West Tourism Board

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.31	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy CH7 Archaeology and Ancient Monuments

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52910 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0709/51901 Old Warke Dam Society (OWDS)
0972/52911 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50906 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0189/50607 English Heritage - **CW**

Support

0479/51150 North West Tourism Board

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.36	<u>no modifications</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy CH8 Park and Gardens of Historic Interest

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52912 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52913 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

0271/50907 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0189/50608 English Heritage - **CW**

Support

0479/51151 North West Tourism Board
 0073/50180 Ramblers Association of Manchester
 0158/50219 Mr Graham Ramsden
 0040/50173 Mr B C Wilde

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.40	<u>no modifications</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy CH9 Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50908 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0853/52052 Valley & Vale Properties Ltd
 0973/52548 Tarmac Central Limited
 0350/50872 British Waterways (BW)

Support

0115/50167 Towpath Action Group
 0073/50182 Ramblers Association of Manchester
 0875/52701 Inland Waterways Association
 0972/52915 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0874/52679 Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal Society (MB&BCS)
 0951/52914 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0479/51152 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)

Objections to Revised UDP

0973/65252 Tarmac Central Limited

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC143

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.58	I RECOMMEND the UDP be modified by:			

	A. the <u>substitution</u> of the third element of Policy CH9 by: “In circumstances where the restoration or improvement of the canal or towpath is necessary to enable the development to proceed satisfactorily, or where the development would benefit directly from the restoration or improvement, contributions will be sought with a view to securing such restoration or improvement.”	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 442
	B. the <u>amendment</u> of the introduction to the second paragraph of the RJ to Policy CH9 as follows: “New development adjacent to the canal provides the opportunity to secure its restoration or improvement. Subject to the terms of Policy DEV5 and in conjunction with Policy DES6, contributions will be sought to achieving those ends by negotiation, with a view to ensuring a successful long-term future for both the canal and the development itself. Where it is appropriate for contributions to be made, they may be in kind or of a financial nature. They may come in many forms, including.”	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 443
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Omission Local List Policy

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51991	Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0424/51301	A. E.Nicolson
0951/52864	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52859	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0189/50609	English Heritage - CW

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
13.62	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>inclusion of a new policy</u> setting out the approach to be taken to the Council's Local List of Buildings, Structures and Features of Architectural Archaeological or Historic Interest;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 444

	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

CHAPTER 14 Recreation

General

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0003/50012 Ms Christine Howard
0763/52330 Mr Len Hotchkiss

Expressions of support

0017/50031 Sir Alan Cockshaw

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.7	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by <u>deletion</u> of reference to the Urban Open Space Strategy as Supplementary Planning Guidance, UNLESS the Council intends to publish it as such; but in any event, reference to "Supplementary Planning Guidance" in paragraph 14.4 should be to "a Supplementary Planning Document";	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However, the Urban Open Space Strategy is now being incorporated into the Salford Greenspace Strategy SPD, which is identified for production in Salford's LDS. The city council therefore consider that it is more appropriate to refer to this SPD in paragraph 14.4 of the UDP.	MOD 445
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy R1 Protection of Land and Facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50909 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0831/51992 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0652/51534 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
0073/50185 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)
0873/52643 Mr Mike Warham
0951/52865 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0089/50340 Sport England (**CW**)
0972/52860 Boothstown Residents Association 1990(BRA)

Support

0683/51609 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
0479/51153 North West Tourism Board
0890/52878 The Countryside Agency

Objections to Revised UDP

1150/65313 Westbury Homes
0652/60260 Mr G Ainsworth

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.21	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: A. <u>substituting</u> part (iii) with: "it has been clearly demonstrated that the site is surplus to recreational requirements and the development would facilitate the wider regeneration of the local area"; and B. <u>deleting</u> reference to the Urban Open Space Strategy as Supplementary Planning Guidance in the final paragraph of the RJ, UNLESS the Council intends to publish it as such; but in any event, reference to "Supplementary Planning Guidance" should be to "a Supplementary Planning Document"; but that no further modification be made in response to these objections	Accept Partially Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However, the Urban Open Space Strategy is being incorporated into the Salford Greenspace Strategy SPD, which is identified for production in Salford's LDS. The city council therefore consider that it is appropriate to refer to this SPD in the RJ to policy R1. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 446 MOD 447

Policy R2 Provision of Land and Facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51994 Moorside South Residents Association(MSRA)
0479/51154 North West Tourism Board
0271/50910 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
0951/52866 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52861 Boothstown Residents Association 1990(BRA)

Support

0890/52879 The Countryside Agency
1116/55038 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0683/51612 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)

Objections to Revised UDP

0652/60262 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
0089/60095 Sport England

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.34	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. <u>the substitution</u> of the introduction to Policy R2 by:</p> <p>“Planning permission for recreation development will be granted unless it would:” and</p> <p>B. <u>deleting</u> the last 2 paragraphs of the RJ;</p> <p>C. <u>adding</u> a second section to the main text as follows:</p> <p>“In considering proposals for new or improved recreational land or facilities, the City Council will seek to ensure that the following standards are met:</p> <p>a) All households to be within:</p> <p>i)400 metres walking distance of a Locally Equipped Area of Play;</p> <p>ii)1000 metres walking distance of a Neighbourhood Equipped Area of Play;</p> <p>iii)1200 metres walking distance of a Neighbourhood Park; and</p> <p>iv)3200 metres walking distance of a District Park.</p> <p>b) A full range of youth and adult facilities available in each Service Delivery Area;</p> <p>c) A minimum of 0.73ha of high quality managed sports pitches per 1000 population;</p> <p>d) A minimum of 0.25ha of equipped children’s playspace per 1000 population”; and</p> <p>e) Amenity open space to a standard reasonably related in scale and kind to the development it serves and sufficient to meet the need for casual children’s play space.</p> <p>D. <u>adding</u> the following to the RJ:</p> <p>“These standards are derived from audits of current recreational provision in the City, and the guidance of the National Playing Fields Association. They will apply in relation to the provision of recreational facilities in all</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Partially Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate. However, the city council consider that the first sentence of the recommended text should be amended to refer to “The standards set out within the policy” rather than “These standards”, in order to clarify which standards are being referred to. In addition, the city council consider that the final</p>	<p>MOD 448</p> <p>MOD 450</p> <p>MOD 451</p> <p>MOD 451</p>

	<p>circumstances, including proposals considered under Policies R6 and H8. The calculation of the amount of amenity open space required in any individual case will have regard to existing provision and needs, as set out in the Council's Urban Open Space Strategy";</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	Accept	<p>sentence of the recommended text should refer to the "Salford Greenspace Strategy" rather than the "Urban Open Space Strategy" as the Salford Greenspace Strategy SPD has been identified for production in Salford's LDS and this document will incorporate the Urban Open Space Strategy.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	
--	---	--------	--	--

Policy R3 Regional Park

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0730/52169 Cllr Karen Garrido
0479/51155 North West Tourism Board
0271/50911 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0951/52906 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0829/52042 Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (WELD)
0972/52905 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Support

0683/51613 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
0890/52880 The Countryside Agency
0038/50114 Red Rose Forest
1114/55013 Northwest Development Agency

Support to Revised UDP

1114/60026 Northwest Development Agency

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.45	<p>that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. the <u>deletion</u> of Policy R3 and its RJ, and its <u>replacement</u> along the following lines:</p> <p>"A Strategic Regional Park will be established in that part of the City lying within the area of search identified in Regional Spatial Strategy. The contribution that development would make to the achievement of the objectives of the Regional Park will be a material consideration in the determination of proposals within it. Development which would unacceptably prevent</p>	Partially Accept	<p>Whilst the city council substantially accept the Inspector's recommendations in respect of policy R3, the Area of Search within RSS to which he refers has yet to be tested and confirmed through the review of RSS. The Partial Review of RSS on which the Inspector based his reasoning and recommendation, has since been withdrawn. The city council therefore consider that a slight revision of the Inspector's recommended wording would be in order to avoid reference to an Area of Search and instead to define those parts of Salford which are most likely to contain elements of the Regional Park.</p>	MOD 452

	<p>or inhibit the achievement of those objectives will not be permitted.</p> <p>Reasoned Justification</p> <p>Regional Spatial Strategy for the North West identifies areas of search for Strategic Regional Parks, one of which, the Mersey Belt, extends into Salford. This is shown on diagram x. Strategic Regional Parks are extensive areas connected by a variety of natural landscape and/or cultural heritage where the co-ordinated promotion of opportunities predominantly for informal outdoor recreation and leisure, and sporting provision, together with positive planning and management, based on the Core Development principles of the Regional Spatial Strategy, will complement the regional effort to secure an urban and rural renaissance.</p> <p>A Strategic Framework Document for the Regional Park is to be prepared at the regional level which among other things will establish its broad vision and objectives and a set of overarching guiding principles for projects being promoted within its area of search.</p> <p>For Salford, the purpose of the Regional Park would be to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provide a wide range of open land based recreation opportunities for the residents of the City and the Region; • facilitate public access to those recreation opportunities; • enhance the City's open space network; • improve biodiversity and the city's landscapes • protect and maximise interest in the City's heritage; • secure the reclamation of derelict and contaminated land; • support the Red Rose forest initiative; • ensure the active management of the City's countryside and urban fringe; 			
--	--	--	--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enhance the image and appearance of the City; • support tourism within the City; and • Provide educational opportunities. <p>The Regional Park will build on the physical, environmental, cultural and recreational assets of the area, in particular the following: Salford's Countryside; Key Recreation Areas; the River Irwell; The Bridgewater Canal; the Manchester, Bolton & Bury Canal and Barton Aerodrome. More detailed policy for the Regional Park will be incorporated into successor documents to the UDP at the time of periodic review. In the meantime, other policies in the UDP seek to promote or protect those assets consistent with the general objectives of the Regional Park."</p> <p>B. <u>including</u> a plan following this policy showing the area of search identified in RPG13 for the Mersey Belt Regional Park;</p>			
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy R4 Key Recreation Areas

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0709/51900 Old Warke Dam Society
0271/50912 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0479/51156 North West Tourism Board
0930/52636 Environment Agency
0666/51705 Peel Holdings Plc
0403/50827 Mr G I Pattinson
0073/50203 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM) - **CW**

Support

0710/51922 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee (LH&WCC)
 0951/52916 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 0972/52917 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0890/52896 The Countryside Agency

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC 162

Support for Pre Inquiry Change

1184/70023 Mr & Mrs Jones
 1182/70021 Mr & Mrs Wilson
 1179/70019 Mr & Mrs Mathieson
 1168/70017 Mr George Bryan
 1185/70015 Roy Entwistle
 0073/70013 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.56	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:			
	A. <u>amending</u> criterion (v) of Policy R4 to read: "The provision, improvement and maintenance of public access, where appropriate, for walking, cycling, horse riding and water-based recreational activities;"	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 453
	B. <u>replacing</u> the final sentence of the second paragraph of the RJ to Policy R4 by: "A number of the Key Recreation Areas have the potential to form an important green gateway to Salford and to contribute to the objectives of the Regional Park (Policy R3)."	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 455
	C. <u>replacing</u> the final paragraph of the RJ to Policy R4 by: "Further guidance on Key Recreation Areas will be included in the proposed Salford Greenspace Strategy Supplementary Planning Document. In the event that any of the Key Recreation Areas is identified as a Regional Park Project, then a Regional Park Project Plan will be prepared, outlining its relationship with the proposed	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but that "is" should be replaced with "are" for grammatical correctness.	MOD 456

	Regional Park and other related initiatives.” but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	
--	---	--------	--	--

Policy R5 Countryside Access Network

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

1116/55039 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0271/50935 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0890/52898 The Countryside Agency
0073/50189 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Objections to Revised UDP

0652/60229 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
0666/60218 Peel Holdings Plc
0038/60108 Red Rose Forest

Support

0260/60139 University of Salford

Pre-Inquiry Change - PIC163

Support to Pre Inquiry Changes

0312/70121 Jane Mathers
0313/70096 Robert Mathers

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.70	The UDP be <u>modified</u> : A. in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Change 163 (CD190) B. by the inclusion on the proposals map as an additional length of Strategic Recreation Route of the short length of Monton Road from the southern end of the line defined by PIC163 to the start of the route that runs north-westwards; and	Accept Accept	The city council consider the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 546 MOD 546

	<p>C. D. by <u>replacement</u> of the final sentence of the RJ to policy R5 with:</p> <p>“Where it is impracticable to provide a new Strategic Recreation Route on the line shown on the proposals map, then an alternative, which will be well designed, effective, accessible and safe for users should be provided as close to that line as possible”</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	MOD 457
--	--	-----------------------------	---	---------

Policy R6 New and Improved Recreation and Land Facilities

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0460/51092 Greater Manchester Federation of Clubs for Young People (GMFCYP)
0479/51157 North West Tourism Board (NWTB)
0531/51172 Mr Michael Wilks
0038/50120 Red Rose Forest
0542/51202 Mr Alan Wellins
0147/50208 Ms Sharon Wellins
0271/50936 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0652/51536 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
0652/51537 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
1116/55040 CPRE Lancashire Branch

Support

0890/52899 The Countryside Agency

Pre Inquiry Change

PIC144

Objection Pre Inquiry Changes

0652/70260 Mr G Ainsworth

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.81	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			
	A. in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Change 144 [CD90]; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 461

	B. by the <u>deletion</u> of “(b) Wharton Lane, Little Hulton (Policy MX3/2)” from Policy R6;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 460
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy R6/2

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0538/51194 Mr Paul Atherton

0754/51742 Mr David King

Expressions of support

0073/50191 Ramblers Association of Manchester

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.87	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy R6/3

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0460/52243 Greater Manchester Federation of Clubs for Young People (GMFCYP)

0485/50247 Mr Neil Doherty

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.98	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by: <u>substituting</u> for allocation R6/3: “Policy R6/3) The Duncan Mathieson Playing Fields and adjoining land (xxha)”; together with a consistent amendment to the list of allocated sites in the main part of Policy R6; and with the following RJ:	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but that the policy name should also include reference to the word in which the site is located for consistency purposes with other policy allocations The city council also consider that the reference to the Urban Open Space Strategy proposed by the Inspector should in fact be to the Salford Greenspace Strategy as it is this document that	MOD 458, 462, 463, 547

	<p><i>"This land comprises the present privately owned Duncan Mathieson Playing Fields and 3.1ha of adjoining unused land in the Council's ownership. The former are under-used and would benefit from improvement, while the latter provides the opportunity to build on the present resource by concentrating a large number of high quality sports pitches within a single location. Together the land could contribute substantially to the Council's emerging Urban Open Space Strategy as a key location with the potential to harness both public and private funding for the provision of a wide range of recreational facilities. There is also an identified need for the provision of equipped children's play areas. In view of the proximity of the site to housing, care will be necessary to ensure any proposals respect the need to protect the amenity of local residents";</i></p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	Accept	<p>incorporates the Urban Open Space Strategy which is identified in Salford's LDS. The amendment of the policy also necessitates a further modification to the proposals map.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	
--	--	--------	--	--

Policy R6/4

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0315/50594 Joan Cunningham
0316/50602 Mr James Cunningham
0743/51834 Prof David Yates

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.102	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by <u>adding</u> the following to the RJ to Policy R6/4:</p> <p>"Care will need to be taken in the design and landscaping of both the housing development and the recreation land to ensure a sensitive and sympathetic boundary between the two";</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.</p>	<p>Partially Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The Inspector has elsewhere recommended deletion of the Greenwood School allocation (H9/10) and this needs to be reflected in the RJ to policy R6/4. However, part of the school site does have planning permission for residential development and therefore the Inspector's general point about ensuring a sensitive and sympathetic boundary between the two sites is considered valid.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	MOD 464

Policy R6/6

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0533/51175 Mr K. Hankinson

0754/51738 Mr David King

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.107	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by adding the following to the RJ of Policy R6/6: "Particular care should be made in the design of the facility to ensure that the amenity of neighbouring residents is not unduly affected, and to attract use by younger children and parents in order to encourage supervision"; but that no further modification be made in response to these objections.	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 465

Policy R6/7

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0754/51741 Mr David King

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.109	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy R6/9 & R6/14

Support for 1st Deposit UDP

0710/51919 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee

0710/51916 Little Hulton and Walkden Community Committee

Report Reference	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
------------------	----------------------------	---------------	---------	------------------------

(para)				
14.111	<u>no recommendation</u> in respect of these representations	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

Policy R6/16

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0666/51707

Peel Holdings Plc

0730/52290

Cllr Karen Garrido

1145/51902

Grange Road Residents Association (GRRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.117	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by;</p> <p>A. <u>deleting</u> from the proposals map that area of the R6/16 allocation in the ownership of Peel Holdings Plc, lying to the north west of the footpath leading to Granary Lane and behind the gardens of dwellings in Ryecroft Lane; and</p> <p>B. <u>amending</u> the second sentence of the RJ to Policy R6/16 to read:</p> <p>“there is a wide range of improvements and management required to make it safe and accessible, <u>including for children's play</u>, and <u>to</u> improve its landscape and wildlife value”;</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 548</p> <p>MOD 468</p>

Policy R6 Omission Sites

Harrop Fold School

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0653/50146

Mrs M.R. Crawford

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.119	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Clovelly (Nevitts Field) and Brackley (Goosefield), Hazelhurst, Moorside

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0831/51995 Moorside South Residents Association (MSRA)
0951/52867 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0730/52170 Cllr Karen Garrido
0972/52862 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.122	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Ivy Street Play Area, Eccles

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

1109/52904 Paul Wilson

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.124	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Stott Lane Playing Fields

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51535 Mr Geoff Ainsworth

Report Reference	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------	------------------------

(para)				
14.126	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy R7 Site for a New Sport Stadium

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50937 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0882/52876 Highways Agency
0479/51158 North West Tourism Board
1116/55041 CPRE Lancashire Branch
0735/51781 Miss J Hart
1114/55008 Northwest Development Agency – **CW**

Support

0689/51692 United Utilities
0779/51775 Red City Developments
0073/50194 Ramblers Association of Manchester (RAOM)

Support to Revised UDP

0904/60136 Trafford MBC

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.128	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these objections	Accept	The city council consider the Inspector's conclusion to be appropriate.	

Policy R8 Recreational Use of Waterways

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50938 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Expressions of support

0951/52918 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52919 Boothstown Residents Association 1990(BRA)

Objections to Revised UDP

0666/60219 Peel Holdings Plc

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
14.132	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 15 Development

Policy DEV1 Telecommunications

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0951/52920	Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
0972/52921	Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
0271/50939	Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0349/50867	T-Mobile (UK)
0295/50531	Orange Personal Communications Services Ltd (OPCS)
0650/51552	BT plc - CW
0615/51780	Vodafone Limited

Expression of support

0683/51628 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)

Objections to Revised UDP

0652/60263 Mr G Ainsworth

Support

1198/60231 Mobile Operators Association (MOA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.12	<p>that the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. <u>replacing</u> the first line and criteria (i) to (iv) to Policy DEV1 with:</p> <p>“Proposals for telecommunications development will be permitted provided that its impact would be acceptable with respect to: (i) visual amenity; (ii) residential amenity; (iii) the appearance, character or fabric of an ancient monument, listed building or conservation area; and (iv) sites or features of ecological, geological, archaeological landscape or recreational value, including trees subject to a Tree Preservation Order; and where:...”</p> <p>B deleting the final sentence of the 1st paragraph of</p>	Partially Accept	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate but the replacement of “its” with “their” would make the sentence grammatically correct.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is</p>	<p>MOD 471</p> <p>MOD 472</p>

	the RJ; and	Accept	appropriate.	MOD 473
	C <u>deleting</u> the 2 nd sentence of the 2 nd paragraph of the RJ;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy DEV2 Advertisements

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0186/50575 Outdoor Advertising Association (OAA)
0271/50940 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0882/52881 Highways Agency - **CW**

Support

1116/55042 CPRE Lancashire Branch

Objection to Revised UDP

0186/60006 Outdoor Advertising Association (OAA)

Support

0882/60016 Highways Agency

Pre Inquiry Change - PIC 145

Support for Pre Inquiry Change

0186/70028 Outdoor Advertising Association (OAA)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.17	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre Inquiry Change 145 (CD190)	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 475
	but that <u>no further modifications</u> be made to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy DEV 3 Control of Hazardous Use

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50941 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.19	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy DEV4 Development Close to Hazardous Uses

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50942 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0013/50022 Health and Safety Executive

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.23	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy DEV5 Planning Conditions and Obligations

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50943 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
0500/52655 English Partnerships (EP)
0929/52617 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)

Support

0890/52901 The Countryside Agency
0683/51629 Greater Manchester Ecological Unit (GMEU)
0420/51291 GMPTE
0391/50698 Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/ Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.30	the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>deletion</u> from the RJ the bullet point: "Provision of local employment opportunities and training";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 476
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy DEV 6 Incremental Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0652/51571 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
0271/50944 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.34	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Policy Omission

Ellesmere Park

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0632/51469 Ryszard Petecki
0020/50035 A Swithenbank
0029/50054 J and G Hamilton
0556/51727 Mr T McKnight
0496/51255 N Moutrey
0557/51732 Ms E M Hayton
0742/51818 Mrs V M Yates
0784/51828 Dr Audrey Brassloff
0785/51838 Dr Wolfgang Brassloff
0858/52063 Sarah Joynes
0320/52234 Mr Robert Berry
0743/51832 Prof David Yates

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.39	that <u>no modification</u> be made to the UDP in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	

Aviation safety

Objection to 1st Deposit UDP

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
15.45	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by:</p> <p>A. the <u>inclusion</u> of a new policy within Chapter 15 along the following lines:</p> <p>“Development that would have an unacceptable impact on the operational integrity or safety of Manchester Airport will not be permitted.</p> <p>Reasoned Justification</p> <p>Safeguarding zones have been notified to the City Council by the Civil Aviation Authority, defining certain types of development which, by reason of their height, attraction to birds or inclusion of aviation activity require prior consultation with Manchester Airport. This is in order to ensure that the operational integrity or safety of the airport may be assured. However, the safeguarding is neither the responsibility nor the proposal of the City Council. Consultation is required for the following:</p> <p>i) buildings, structures, erections and works exceeding 90metres in height within the zone identified on the proposals map;</p> <p>ii) Any development within 13 kilometres of Manchester Airport, as shown on the proposals map that:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a) is likely to attract birds, such as proposals involving significant tree planting, minerals extraction or quarrying, waste disposal or management, reservoirs or other significant areas of water, land restoration schemes, sewage works, nature reserves or bird sanctuaries;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b) is connected with aviation use; and</p> <p>iii) any wind turbine development, anywhere in the City”;</p>	Partially Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is broadly appropriate but “or” after (ii)(a) would provide additional clarification.	MOD 479

	and B. the <u>addition</u> to the proposals map of the boundaries of the notified safeguarded areas in relation to Manchester Airport. but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate. The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate.	MOD 549
--	--	----------------------	--	---------

CHAPTER16 Waste Management

Introduction

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0142/51024 Viridor Waste Management Ltd

0142/51025 Viridor Waste Management Ltd

CPRE Lancashire Branch

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
16.5	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u> by the <u>inclusion</u> of an additional first paragraph to the introduction to Chapter 16, along the following lines:</p> <p>16.1“ The ten unitary authorities in Greater Manchester, including Salford, have decided to produce a joint waste development plan in order to provide a co-ordinated strategy and approach to waste disposal for the whole Metropolitan area. The plan, which will become a Development Plan Document (DPD), will be incorporated into the Local Development Framework for Salford, the successor to the UDP. It will include development control policies and the identification of sites and preferred areas for a range of waste management facilities.</p> <p>16.2 Until the DPD is adopted, the UDP takes a flexible approach...”</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections</p>	<p>Partially Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation to include an additional first paragraph along the lines suggested is broadly appropriate. However, the Inspector's recommendation is unclear as to what should be done with the original first paragraph, as shown in the Revised Deposit Draft Plan. The city council consider that it would be appropriate to retain reference to the waste hierarchy and the use of planning obligations to secure the provision of recycling facilities as part of major new developments in the introduction to the Waste Management Chapter, which would give a slightly amended form of words to that suggested by the Inspector.</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 480, 481 482, 483</p> <p>MOD 482</p>

Policy W1

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52923 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)

0951/52922 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)

0829/52106 Worsley and Eccles Liberal Democrats (WELD)

0142/51039	Viridor Waste Management Ltd
------------	------------------------------

0271/50980 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0882/52882 Highways Agency (HA) CW

Support to Revised UDP
 0882/60012 Highways Agency (HA)

Pre-Inquiry Change PIC 146

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
16.15	the UDP be <u>modified</u> :			
	A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 146 [CD90]; and	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 485
	B. by the <u>amendment</u> of criterion (i) to read:	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 484
	"Be inconsistent with the principle of seeking to dispose of waste according to the sequence set out in the Waste Hierarchy, (see Policy ST16)";			
	C. by the <u>deletion</u> of criteria (xiv) and (xv) from Policy W1;	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 487, 488
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

CHAPTER 17 Minerals

Policy M1 Protection of Mineral Resources

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50981 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
17.3	that <u>no modifications</u> be made to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Policy M2 Mineral Development

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0972/52925 Boothstown Residents Association 1990 (BRA)
 0951/52924 Worsley and Boothstown Community Committee (W&BCC)
 1116/55044 CPRE Lancashire Branch
 0769/52536 English Nature (EN)
 0271/50982 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0594/51353 The Scotts Company (UK) Ltd
 0882/52883 Highways Agency (HA) **CW**
 0142/51023 Viridor Waste Management Ltd **CW**

Objections to Revised UDP

0594/60011 The Scotts Company (UK) Ltd
 0666/60220 Peel Holdings Ltd
 0142/60035 Viridor Waste Management Ltd

Support

0882/60017 Highways Agency

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 147, 148, 149

Objections to Pre-Inquiry Changes

0666/70161 Peel Holdings Ltd

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
17.12	<p>the UDP be <u>modified</u>:</p> <p>A. in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 147 [CD90]; and;</p> <p>B. by <u>deleting</u> the second and third paragraphs of the RJ; and</p> <p>C. by <u>substituting</u> the following:</p> <p>"In implementing this policy, the Council will have particular regard to the need to protect sites of ecological value in accordance with the policies in Chapter 12, as well as the potential for habitat creation, recreation development, tree planting, and landscape restoration and enhancement, for example within the mosslands (Policy EN8), in accordance with other policies and proposals of the UDP."</p> <p>but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 489, 490</p> <p>MOD 491</p> <p>MOD 491</p>

Policy M3 Enhancing the Operation and Restoration of Existing Mineral Workings

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0271/50983 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)

Support

0683/51645 Greater Manchester Ecology Unit (GMEU)

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
17.14	<u>no recommendation</u> with respect to these representations	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's conclusion is appropriate	

CHAPTER 18 – Monitoring

General

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0666/51712 Peel Holdings Ltd
 0666/51711 Peel Holdings Ltd
 0652/51580 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
 0652/51572 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
 0652/51480 Mr Geoff Ainsworth
 0142/51037 Viridor Waste Management Ltd
 0271/50984 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society (WCT&AS)
 0890/52902 The Countryside Agency (CA) - **CW**

Objection to Revised UDP

1150/65288 Westbury Homes

Pre-Inquiry Changes - PICs 150, 151, 152

Objection to Pre-Inquiry Changes

0652/70262 Mr Geoff Ainsworth

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
18.14	the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's pre Inquiry Changes 151 and 152 [CD90] and by:	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 498, 499
	A. the replacement of Target 2 by: "An average of 530 dwellings per annum plus the annual average number of replacements for dwellings cleared over the plan period.";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 492
	B. the replacement of Target 3 by: "Sufficient to accommodate 2650 dwellings and the number of dwellings cleared over the plan period.";	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 493
	C. the <u>replacement</u> of Target 5 by "Reduce to less than 3% by 2016".	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 494
	D. the <u>replacement</u> of Indicator 28 by an indicator which sets a numerical or proportional target	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 501

	<p>related to known national or regional targets over a given time frame. If insufficient information is available to do this, then the indicator should be <u>deleted</u>;</p> <p>E. the <u>introduction</u> of a new indicator under Aim 3: “The number of developments permitted subject to a Travel Plan”, with a target of “Increasing the proportion of developments subject to a Travel Plan on a year on year basis”; and</p> <p>F. the replacement of Indicator 32 by: “Number of Supplementary Planning Documents produced” with a target of “All documents listed in the Local Development Scheme, within the timescales indicated”. .</p> <p>but that no <u>further modification</u> be made in response to these objections.</p>	<p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p> <p>Accept</p>	<p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p> <p>The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate</p>	<p>MOD 495</p> <p>MOD 502</p>
--	---	---	---	-------------------------------

Appendix 2 Disabled, Cycle & Motorcycle Parking

Pre Inquiry Change - PIC153

Objection to Pre Inquiry Change

0652/70261 G Ainsworth

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
A2.4	that the UDP be <u>modified</u> in accordance with the Council's Pre-Inquiry Change 153 [CD90];	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	MOD 504
	but that <u>no further modification</u> be made in response to this objection	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	

Appendix 3 Car Parking Standards

Objections to 1st Deposit UDP

0960/52745 RPS Plc
 0929/52560 Worsley Village Community Association (WVCA)
 0950/52300 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd - **CW**
 0652/51489 G Ainsworth
 0271/51282 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0271/50990 Worsley Civic Trust & Amenity Society(WCT&AS)
 0100/50263 Tesco Stores Limited
 0273/50589 Aldi Stores Ltd – **CW**

Report Reference (para)	Inspector's Recommendation	Accept/Reject	Reasons	Modification Reference
A3.5	<u>no modification</u> to the UDP in response to these objections.	Accept	The city council consider that the Inspector's recommendation is appropriate	